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$X(n, k)$ from the James filtration on $\Omega(S U(n+1) / S U(n))=\Omega S^{2 n+1}=J S^{2 n}$
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$\Omega^{\infty} L(*)$ is a DGA up to homotopy, chain equivalent to $\mathbb{Z}$ (Whitehead, Kuhn, Priddy)
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There are natural product maps $\mathrm{SP}^{n}\left(S^{V}\right) \times \mathrm{SP}^{m}\left(S^{W}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{SP}^{n m}\left(S^{V \oplus W}\right)$ and $\overline{\mathrm{SP}}^{n}\left(S^{\vee}\right) \wedge \overline{\mathrm{SP}}^{m}\left(S^{W}\right) \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{SP}}^{n m}\left(S^{V \oplus W}\right)$.
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Take $\mathcal{P}_{n}=\left\{\right.$ nontransitive subgroups of $\left.\Sigma_{n}\right\}$; then $E \mathcal{P}_{n}=S\left(\infty W_{n}\right)$ and so $\overline{\mathrm{SP}}^{n}\left(S^{0}\right)=\widetilde{\Sigma} B \mathcal{P}_{n}$.
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Theorem (Nakaoka): $\bar{H}^{*} \bar{H}=\mathcal{A}^{*}=$ Steenrod algebra;
$\bar{H}^{*} \bar{H}(k)=\mathcal{A}^{*} /($ admissibles of length $>k)$.
Operations of length $k$ are related to $\bar{H}^{*}\left(B \Sigma_{p^{k}}\right)$ and to $\bar{H}^{*}\left(B(\mathbb{Z} / p)^{k}\right)^{G L_{k}(\mathbb{Z} / p)}$ by the extended power construction.

There are still some open questions about how all this fits together, and how it dualises.
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$\widehat{P}(A) \simeq S^{2} \cup($ equatorial disc $) \simeq S^{2} \vee S^{2}$.
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There is a filtration of $\bar{P}(A)$ by ranks of partitions, with associated graded $\bigvee_{\pi} \widehat{P}(\pi)$. The homology of this is thus a DGA, probably chain contractible.

We have not yet understood the structure of this.
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By a Pontrjagin-Thom construction, we make the spaces $\widehat{P}(n)$ into a based cooperad (a theorem of Ching).
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We can map $\widehat{T}(A)$ to $\widehat{P}(A)$ by sending $B \in \mathcal{T}(A)$ to the corresponding coset partition of $A$. This respects the actions of $\operatorname{Aff}(A)$ on $\widehat{T}(A)$ and $\Sigma_{A}$ on $\widehat{P}(A)$.

Theorem (Arone-Dwyer):
 Steinberg summand in $\left(S^{W A}\right)_{h A}$, which is a Thom spectrum over $B A$.
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Put $X(A)=\left(\Sigma^{-d} \operatorname{Bases}(\mathbb{C}[A])_{+} \wedge \widehat{T}(A)\right)_{h_{\text {Aff }}(A)}$.
This is the Steinberg summand in $\operatorname{Bases}(\mathbb{C}[A]) / A$.
Theorem (Mitchell): this has type $n$, so $K(m)_{*} X(A)$ is nonzero iff $m \geq n$.
This was the first known example of a family of finite spectra of type $n$ for all $n$; an important ingredient of the chromatic theory.
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Put $\bar{T}(A)=T(A) /($ simplices not containing0). This has a natural product and compatible filtration, making the associated graded homology into a graded-commutative DGA.

Put $S t_{*}(A)=\bigoplus_{B \leq A} \operatorname{St}(B)$; this is easily identified with the above DGA.
One can show that $S t_{*}(A)$ has a generator $x_{L} \in \operatorname{St}_{1}(A)$ for each $L \leq A$ of order $p$, subject to relations

$$
x_{L} x_{M}+x_{M} x_{N}+x_{N} x_{L}=0
$$

whenever $|L+M+N|<p^{3}$. The differential is given by $d\left(x_{L}\right)=-1$ for all $L$.
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Let $E$ be Morava $E$-theory (with formal group $G$ ) and put $E_{0}^{\vee} L(d)=\pi_{0} L_{K(n)}(E \wedge L(d))$. It works out that $E_{0}^{\vee} L(*)$ is a contractible DGA over $E_{0}$.

Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel introduce the group $\Theta=\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{\infty}\right)^{d}$, and a Galois extension $E_{0}^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E_{0}$, with Galois group $\operatorname{Aut}(\Theta)$. For finite groups $H$, they give a natural isomorphism

$$
E_{0}^{\prime} \otimes_{E_{0}} E^{0} B H=\operatorname{Map}\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Theta^{*}, H\right) / H, E_{0}^{\prime}\right)
$$

("generalised character theory").
Put $\Theta[p]=\{\theta \in \Theta \mid p \theta=0\}$.
Theorem: $E_{0}^{\prime} \otimes_{E_{0}} E_{0}^{\vee} L(*)=E_{0}^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{St}_{*}(\Theta[p])$.
It is also possible to define $G[p]$ and $\mathrm{St}_{*}(G[p])$, and to show that $E_{0}^{\vee} L(*)=\mathrm{St}_{*}(G[p])$.

This is closely related to old conjectures of Hopkins, about homological algebra for the ring of $E$-theory power operations.

