Chromatic cohomology of finite general linear groups

Neil Strickland (with Sam Marsh and Sam Hutchinson)

October 28, 2022

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Let *E* be Morava *E*-theory of height n > 0 at a prime p > 2. Many things are known about  $E^0BG$  for finite groups *G*.

- The full structure is known for abelian groups, symmetric groups and various other groups.
- ▶ The Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel generalised character theory gives a clear description of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0 BG$  for any *G*.
- This determines the 0th chromatic stratum precisely; there are approximate descriptions of the other strata in similar terms.
- In the common case where  $E^1BG = 0$ , the ring  $E^0BG$  has a natural inner product making it a Frobenius algebra.
- There is an extensive theory of the relationship between  $E^0BG$  and the  $\lambda$ -ring structure of the representation ring R(G).

Here we take  $G = GL_d(F)$ , where F is a finite field of characteristic  $\neq p$ . The ring  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  was described by Tanabe, but we are looking for a more explicit answer. The first interesting case d = p was done in the thesis of Sam Marsh. Most of the general case is in the thesis of Sam Hutchinson.

(The case where F has characteristic p is also interesting, but much harder.)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

### Let *E* be Morava *E*-theory of height n > 0 at a prime p > 2.

Many things are known about  $E^0BG$  for finite groups G.

- The full structure is known for abelian groups, symmetric groups and various other groups.
- ▶ The Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel generalised character theory gives a clear description of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0 BG$  for any *G*.
- This determines the 0th chromatic stratum precisely; there are approximate descriptions of the other strata in similar terms.
- In the common case where  $E^1BG = 0$ , the ring  $E^0BG$  has a natural inner product making it a Frobenius algebra.
- There is an extensive theory of the relationship between  $E^0BG$  and the  $\lambda$ -ring structure of the representation ring R(G).

Here we take  $G = GL_d(F)$ , where F is a finite field of characteristic  $\neq p$ . The ring  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  was described by Tanabe, but we are looking for a more explicit answer. The first interesting case d = p was done in the thesis of Sam Marsh. Most of the general case is in the thesis of Sam Hutchinson.

(The case where F has characteristic p is also interesting, but much harder.)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

### Let *E* be Morava *E*-theory of height n > 0 at a prime p > 2. Many things are known about $E^0BG$ for finite groups *G*.

- The full structure is known for abelian groups, symmetric groups and various other groups.
- ▶ The Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel generalised character theory gives a clear description of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0 BG$  for any *G*.
- This determines the 0th chromatic stratum precisely; there are approximate descriptions of the other strata in similar terms.
- In the common case where  $E^1BG = 0$ , the ring  $E^0BG$  has a natural inner product making it a Frobenius algebra.
- There is an extensive theory of the relationship between  $E^0BG$  and the  $\lambda$ -ring structure of the representation ring R(G).

Here we take  $G = GL_d(F)$ , where F is a finite field of characteristic  $\neq p$ . The ring  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  was described by Tanabe, but we are looking for a more explicit answer. The first interesting case d = p was done in the thesis of Sam Marsh. Most of the general case is in the thesis of Sam Hutchinson.

(The case where F has characteristic p is also interesting, but much harder.)

Let *E* be Morava *E*-theory of height n > 0 at a prime p > 2. Many things are known about  $E^0BG$  for finite groups *G*.

- The full structure is known for abelian groups, symmetric groups and various other groups.
- ▶ The Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel generalised character theory gives a clear description of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0 BG$  for any *G*.
- This determines the 0th chromatic stratum precisely; there are approximate descriptions of the other strata in similar terms.
- In the common case where  $E^1BG = 0$ , the ring  $E^0BG$  has a natural inner product making it a Frobenius algebra.
- There is an extensive theory of the relationship between  $E^0BG$  and the  $\lambda$ -ring structure of the representation ring R(G).

Here we take  $G = GL_d(F)$ , where F is a finite field of characteristic  $\neq p$ . The ring  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  was described by Tanabe, but we are looking for a more explicit answer. The first interesting case d = p was done in the thesis of Sam Marsh. Most of the general case is in the thesis of Sam Hutchinson.

(The case where F has characteristic p is also interesting, but much harder.)

Let *E* be Morava *E*-theory of height n > 0 at a prime p > 2. Many things are known about  $E^0BG$  for finite groups *G*.

- The full structure is known for abelian groups, symmetric groups and various other groups.
- ▶ The Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel generalised character theory gives a clear description of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0 BG$  for any *G*.
- This determines the 0th chromatic stratum precisely; there are approximate descriptions of the other strata in similar terms.
- In the common case where  $E^1BG = 0$ , the ring  $E^0BG$  has a natural inner product making it a Frobenius algebra.
- There is an extensive theory of the relationship between  $E^0BG$  and the  $\lambda$ -ring structure of the representation ring R(G).

Here we take  $G = GL_d(F)$ , where F is a finite field of characteristic  $\neq p$ . The ring  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  was described by Tanabe, but we are looking for a more explicit answer. The first interesting case d = p was done in the thesis of Sam Marsh. Most of the general case is in the thesis of Sam Hutchinson.

(The case where F has characteristic p is also interesting, but much harder.)

Let *E* be Morava *E*-theory of height n > 0 at a prime p > 2. Many things are known about  $E^0BG$  for finite groups *G*.

- The full structure is known for abelian groups, symmetric groups and various other groups.
- ▶ The Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel generalised character theory gives a clear description of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0 BG$  for any *G*.
- This determines the 0th chromatic stratum precisely; there are approximate descriptions of the other strata in similar terms.
- In the common case where  $E^1BG = 0$ , the ring  $E^0BG$  has a natural inner product making it a Frobenius algebra.
- There is an extensive theory of the relationship between  $E^0BG$  and the  $\lambda$ -ring structure of the representation ring R(G).

Here we take  $G = GL_d(F)$ , where F is a finite field of characteristic  $\neq p$ . The ring  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  was described by Tanabe, but we are looking for a more explicit answer. The first interesting case d = p was done in the thesis of Sam Marsh. Most of the general case is in the thesis of Sam Hutchinson.

(The case where F has characteristic p is also interesting, but much harder.)

Let *E* be Morava *E*-theory of height n > 0 at a prime p > 2. Many things are known about  $E^0BG$  for finite groups *G*.

- The full structure is known for abelian groups, symmetric groups and various other groups.
- ▶ The Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel generalised character theory gives a clear description of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0 BG$  for any *G*.
- This determines the 0th chromatic stratum precisely; there are approximate descriptions of the other strata in similar terms.
- In the common case where  $E^1BG = 0$ , the ring  $E^0BG$  has a natural inner product making it a Frobenius algebra.
- There is an extensive theory of the relationship between  $E^0BG$  and the  $\lambda$ -ring structure of the representation ring R(G).

Here we take  $G = GL_d(F)$ , where F is a finite field of characteristic  $\neq p$ . The ring  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  was described by Tanabe, but we are looking for a more explicit answer. The first interesting case d = p was done in the thesis of Sam Marsh. Most of the general case is in the thesis of Sam Hutchinson.

(The case where F has characteristic p is also interesting, but much harder.)

Let *E* be Morava *E*-theory of height n > 0 at a prime p > 2. Many things are known about  $E^0BG$  for finite groups *G*.

- The full structure is known for abelian groups, symmetric groups and various other groups.
- ▶ The Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel generalised character theory gives a clear description of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0 BG$  for any *G*.
- This determines the 0th chromatic stratum precisely; there are approximate descriptions of the other strata in similar terms.
- In the common case where  $E^1BG = 0$ , the ring  $E^0BG$  has a natural inner product making it a Frobenius algebra.
- There is an extensive theory of the relationship between  $E^0BG$  and the  $\lambda$ -ring structure of the representation ring R(G).

Here we take  $G = GL_d(F)$ , where F is a finite field of characteristic  $\neq p$ . The ring  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  was described by Tanabe, but we are looking for a more explicit answer. The first interesting case d = p was done in the thesis of Sam Marsh. Most of the general case is in the thesis of Sam Hutchinson.

(The case where F has characteristic p is also interesting, but much harder.)

Let *E* be Morava *E*-theory of height n > 0 at a prime p > 2. Many things are known about  $E^0BG$  for finite groups *G*.

- The full structure is known for abelian groups, symmetric groups and various other groups.
- ▶ The Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel generalised character theory gives a clear description of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0 BG$  for any *G*.
- This determines the 0th chromatic stratum precisely; there are approximate descriptions of the other strata in similar terms.
- In the common case where  $E^1BG = 0$ , the ring  $E^0BG$  has a natural inner product making it a Frobenius algebra.
- There is an extensive theory of the relationship between  $E^0BG$  and the  $\lambda$ -ring structure of the representation ring R(G).

Here we take  $G = GL_d(F)$ , where F is a finite field of characteristic  $\neq p$ . The ring  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  was described by Tanabe, but we are looking for a more explicit answer. The first interesting case d = p was done in the thesis of Sam Marsh. Most of the general case is in the thesis of Sam Hutchinson.

(The case where F has characteristic p is also interesting, but much harder.)

Let *E* be Morava *E*-theory of height n > 0 at a prime p > 2. Many things are known about  $E^0BG$  for finite groups *G*.

- The full structure is known for abelian groups, symmetric groups and various other groups.
- ▶ The Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel generalised character theory gives a clear description of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0 BG$  for any *G*.
- This determines the 0th chromatic stratum precisely; there are approximate descriptions of the other strata in similar terms.
- In the common case where  $E^1BG = 0$ , the ring  $E^0BG$  has a natural inner product making it a Frobenius algebra.
- There is an extensive theory of the relationship between  $E^0BG$  and the  $\lambda$ -ring structure of the representation ring R(G).

Here we take  $G = GL_d(F)$ , where F is a finite field of characteristic  $\neq p$ . The ring  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  was described by Tanabe, but we are looking for a more explicit answer. The first interesting case d = p was done in the thesis of Sam Marsh. Most of the general case is in the thesis of Sam Hutchinson.

(The case where F has characteristic p is also interesting, but much harder.)

Let *E* be Morava *E*-theory of height n > 0 at a prime p > 2. Many things are known about  $E^0BG$  for finite groups *G*.

- The full structure is known for abelian groups, symmetric groups and various other groups.
- ▶ The Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel generalised character theory gives a clear description of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0 BG$  for any *G*.
- This determines the 0th chromatic stratum precisely; there are approximate descriptions of the other strata in similar terms.
- In the common case where  $E^1BG = 0$ , the ring  $E^0BG$  has a natural inner product making it a Frobenius algebra.
- There is an extensive theory of the relationship between  $E^0BG$  and the  $\lambda$ -ring structure of the representation ring R(G).

Here we take  $G = GL_d(F)$ , where F is a finite field of characteristic  $\neq p$ . The ring  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  was described by Tanabe, but we are looking for a more explicit answer. The first interesting case d = p was done in the thesis of Sam Marsh. Most of the general case is in the thesis of Sam Hutchinson.

(The case where F has characteristic p is also interesting, but much harder.)

Let *E* be Morava *E*-theory of height n > 0 at a prime p > 2. Many things are known about  $E^0BG$  for finite groups *G*.

- The full structure is known for abelian groups, symmetric groups and various other groups.
- ▶ The Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel generalised character theory gives a clear description of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0 BG$  for any *G*.
- This determines the 0th chromatic stratum precisely; there are approximate descriptions of the other strata in similar terms.
- In the common case where  $E^1BG = 0$ , the ring  $E^0BG$  has a natural inner product making it a Frobenius algebra.
- There is an extensive theory of the relationship between  $E^0BG$  and the  $\lambda$ -ring structure of the representation ring R(G).

Here we take  $G = GL_d(F)$ , where F is a finite field of characteristic  $\neq p$ . The ring  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  was described by Tanabe, but we are looking for a more explicit answer. The first interesting case d = p was done in the thesis of Sam Marsh. Most of the general case is in the thesis of Sam Hutchinson.

(The case where F has characteristic p is also interesting, but much harder.)

Let *E* be Morava *E*-theory of height n > 0 at a prime p > 2. Many things are known about  $E^0BG$  for finite groups *G*.

- The full structure is known for abelian groups, symmetric groups and various other groups.
- ▶ The Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel generalised character theory gives a clear description of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0 BG$  for any *G*.
- This determines the 0th chromatic stratum precisely; there are approximate descriptions of the other strata in similar terms.
- In the common case where  $E^1BG = 0$ , the ring  $E^0BG$  has a natural inner product making it a Frobenius algebra.
- There is an extensive theory of the relationship between  $E^0BG$  and the  $\lambda$ -ring structure of the representation ring R(G).

Here we take  $G = GL_d(F)$ , where F is a finite field of characteristic  $\neq p$ . The ring  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  was described by Tanabe, but we are looking for a more explicit answer. The first interesting case d = p was done in the thesis of Sam Marsh. Most of the general case is in the thesis of Sam Hutchinson.

(The case where F has characteristic p is also interesting, but much harder.)

- Morava E-theory is a generalised cohomology theory giving a graded ring E\*X for every space X.
- $E^* = E^*(\text{point}) = \mathbb{Z}_p[\![u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]\!][u^{\pm 1}]$  with  $|u_i| = 0$  and |u| = -2.
- $\blacktriangleright E^*BS^1 = E^*\mathbb{C}P^{\infty} \simeq E^*\llbracket t \rrbracket \text{ with } |t| = 0.$
- ▶ It is often natural to formulate results in terms of the formal scheme  $X_E = spf(E^0X)$  (similar to the ordinary scheme  $spec(E^0X)$ ) rather than directly in terms of  $E^0X$ .
- The formal scheme  $\mathbb{G} = (BS^1)_E$  has a natural abelian group structure.
- For finite abelian groups A we have BA<sub>E</sub> = Hom(A<sup>\*</sup>, G) = Tor(A, G), where A<sup>\*</sup> = Hom(A, S<sup>1</sup>) is the character group.

$$E^{0}BC_{p^{m}} = E^{0}[t]/[p^{m}](t) = E^{0}\{t^{i} \mid 0 \leq i < p^{nm}\},\$$

- We also have BU(d)<sub>E</sub> = G<sup>d</sup>/∑<sub>d</sub>. This can be identified with Div<sup>+</sup><sub>d</sub>(G), the moduli scheme for effective divisors of degree d on G.
- There is a dual version  $E^{\vee}_*(X)$  and quotient theories  $K^*(X)$  and  $K_*(X)$ with  $K^0(\text{point}) = \mathbb{Z}/p$ .

- Morava E-theory is a generalised cohomology theory giving a graded ring E\*X for every space X.
- $E^* = E^*(\text{point}) = \mathbb{Z}_p[\![u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]\!][u^{\pm 1}]$  with  $|u_i| = 0$  and |u| = -2.
- $\blacktriangleright E^*BS^1 = E^*\mathbb{C}P^{\infty} \simeq E^*\llbracket t \rrbracket \text{ with } |t| = 0.$
- ▶ It is often natural to formulate results in terms of the formal scheme  $X_E = spf(E^0X)$  (similar to the ordinary scheme  $spec(E^0X)$ ) rather than directly in terms of  $E^0X$ .
- The formal scheme  $\mathbb{G} = (BS^1)_E$  has a natural abelian group structure.
- For finite abelian groups A we have BA<sub>E</sub> = Hom(A<sup>\*</sup>, G) = Tor(A, G), where A<sup>\*</sup> = Hom(A, S<sup>1</sup>) is the character group.

$$E^{0}BC_{p^{m}} = E^{0}[[t]]/[p^{m}](t) = E^{0}\{t^{i} \mid 0 \leq i < p^{nm}\},\$$

- We also have BU(d)<sub>E</sub> = G<sup>d</sup>/∑<sub>d</sub>. This can be identified with Div<sup>+</sup><sub>d</sub>(G), the moduli scheme for effective divisors of degree d on G.
- There is a dual version  $E^{\vee}_*(X)$  and quotient theories  $K^*(X)$  and  $K_*(X)$ with  $K^0(\text{point}) = \mathbb{Z}/p$ .

Morava E-theory is a generalised cohomology theory giving a graded ring E\*X for every space X.

• 
$$E^* = E^*(\text{point}) = \mathbb{Z}_p[\![u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]\!][u^{\pm 1}]$$
 with  $|u_i| = 0$  and  $|u| = -2$ .

- $\blacktriangleright E^*BS^1 = E^*\mathbb{C}P^{\infty} \simeq E^*\llbracket t \rrbracket \text{ with } |t| = 0.$
- ▶ It is often natural to formulate results in terms of the formal scheme  $X_E = spf(E^0X)$  (similar to the ordinary scheme  $spec(E^0X)$ ) rather than directly in terms of  $E^0X$ .
- The formal scheme  $\mathbb{G} = (BS^1)_E$  has a natural abelian group structure.
- For finite abelian groups A we have BA<sub>E</sub> = Hom(A<sup>\*</sup>, G) = Tor(A, G), where A<sup>\*</sup> = Hom(A, S<sup>1</sup>) is the character group.

More concretely,

$$E^{0}BC_{p^{m}} = E^{0}[[t]]/[p^{m}](t) = E^{0}\{t^{i} \mid 0 \leq i < p^{nm}\},\$$

- We also have BU(d)<sub>E</sub> = G<sup>d</sup>/∑<sub>d</sub>. This can be identified with Div<sub>d</sub><sup>+</sup>(G), the moduli scheme for effective divisors of degree d on G.
- There is a dual version  $E_*^{\vee}(X)$  and quotient theories  $K^*(X)$  and  $K_*(X)$ with  $K^0(\text{point}) = \mathbb{Z}/p$ .

Morava E-theory is a generalised cohomology theory giving a graded ring E\*X for every space X.

• 
$$E^* = E^*(\text{point}) = \mathbb{Z}_p[\![u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]\!][u^{\pm 1}]$$
 with  $|u_i| = 0$  and  $|u| = -2$ .

• 
$$E^*BS^1 = E^*\mathbb{C}P^\infty \simeq E^*\llbracket t \rrbracket$$
 with  $|t| = 0$ .

- ▶ It is often natural to formulate results in terms of the formal scheme  $X_E = spf(E^0X)$  (similar to the ordinary scheme  $spec(E^0X)$ ) rather than directly in terms of  $E^0X$ .
- The formal scheme  $\mathbb{G} = (BS^1)_E$  has a natural abelian group structure.
- For finite abelian groups A we have BA<sub>E</sub> = Hom(A<sup>\*</sup>, G) = Tor(A, G), where A<sup>\*</sup> = Hom(A, S<sup>1</sup>) is the character group.

More concretely,

$$E^{0}BC_{p^{m}} = E^{0}[[t]]/[p^{m}](t) = E^{0}\{t^{i} \mid 0 \leq i < p^{nm}\},\$$

- We also have BU(d)<sub>E</sub> = G<sup>d</sup>/∑<sub>d</sub>. This can be identified with Div<sup>+</sup><sub>d</sub>(G), the moduli scheme for effective divisors of degree d on G.
- There is a dual version  $E_*^{\vee}(X)$  and quotient theories  $K^*(X)$  and  $K_*(X)$ with  $K^0(\text{point}) = \mathbb{Z}/p$ .

- Morava E-theory is a generalised cohomology theory giving a graded ring E\*X for every space X.
- $E^* = E^*(\text{point}) = \mathbb{Z}_p[\![u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]\!][u^{\pm 1}]$  with  $|u_i| = 0$  and |u| = -2.

• 
$$E^*BS^1 = E^*\mathbb{C}P^\infty \simeq E^*\llbracket t \rrbracket$$
 with  $|t| = 0$ .

- ▶ It is often natural to formulate results in terms of the formal scheme  $X_E = spf(E^0X)$  (similar to the ordinary scheme  $spec(E^0X)$ ) rather than directly in terms of  $E^0X$ .
- The formal scheme  $\mathbb{G} = (BS^1)_E$  has a natural abelian group structure.
- For finite abelian groups A we have BA<sub>E</sub> = Hom(A<sup>\*</sup>, G) = Tor(A, G), where A<sup>\*</sup> = Hom(A, S<sup>1</sup>) is the character group.

$$E^{0}BC_{p^{m}} = E^{0}[[t]]/[p^{m}](t) = E^{0}\{t^{i} \mid 0 \le i < p^{nm}\},\$$

- We also have BU(d)<sub>E</sub> = G<sup>d</sup>/∑<sub>d</sub>. This can be identified with Div<sub>d</sub><sup>+</sup>(G), the moduli scheme for effective divisors of degree d on G.
- There is a dual version  $E^{\vee}_*(X)$  and quotient theories  $K^*(X)$  and  $K_*(X)$ with  $K^0(\text{point}) = \mathbb{Z}/p$ .

- Morava E-theory is a generalised cohomology theory giving a graded ring E\*X for every space X.
- $E^* = E^*(\text{point}) = \mathbb{Z}_p[\![u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]\!][u^{\pm 1}]$  with  $|u_i| = 0$  and |u| = -2.

• 
$$E^*BS^1 = E^*\mathbb{C}P^\infty \simeq E^*\llbracket t \rrbracket$$
 with  $|t| = 0$ .

- It is often natural to formulate results in terms of the formal scheme X<sub>E</sub> = spf(E<sup>0</sup>X) (similar to the ordinary scheme spec(E<sup>0</sup>X)) rather than directly in terms of E<sup>0</sup>X.
- The formal scheme  $\mathbb{G} = (BS^1)_E$  has a natural abelian group structure.
- For finite abelian groups A we have BA<sub>E</sub> = Hom(A<sup>\*</sup>, G) = Tor(A, G), where A<sup>\*</sup> = Hom(A, S<sup>1</sup>) is the character group.

$$E^{0}BC_{p^{m}} = E^{0}[[t]]/[p^{m}](t) = E^{0}\{t^{i} \mid 0 \le i < p^{nm}\},\$$

- We also have BU(d)<sub>E</sub> = G<sup>d</sup>/∑<sub>d</sub>. This can be identified with Div<sub>d</sub><sup>+</sup>(G), the moduli scheme for effective divisors of degree d on G.
- There is a dual version  $E^{\vee}_*(X)$  and quotient theories  $K^*(X)$  and  $K_*(X)$ with  $K^0(\text{point}) = \mathbb{Z}/p$ .

- Morava E-theory is a generalised cohomology theory giving a graded ring E\*X for every space X.
- $E^* = E^*(\text{point}) = \mathbb{Z}_p[\![u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]\!][u^{\pm 1}]$  with  $|u_i| = 0$  and |u| = -2.

• 
$$E^*BS^1 = E^*\mathbb{C}P^\infty \simeq E^*\llbracket t \rrbracket$$
 with  $|t| = 0$ .

- It is often natural to formulate results in terms of the formal scheme X<sub>E</sub> = spf(E<sup>0</sup>X) (similar to the ordinary scheme spec(E<sup>0</sup>X)) rather than directly in terms of E<sup>0</sup>X.
- The formal scheme  $\mathbb{G} = (BS^1)_E$  has a natural abelian group structure.
- For finite abelian groups A we have BA<sub>E</sub> = Hom(A<sup>\*</sup>, G) = Tor(A, G), where A<sup>\*</sup> = Hom(A, S<sup>1</sup>) is the character group.

$$E^{0}BC_{p^{m}} = E^{0}[[t]]/[p^{m}](t) = E^{0}\{t^{i} \mid 0 \le i < p^{nm}\},\$$

- We also have BU(d)<sub>E</sub> = G<sup>d</sup>/∑<sub>d</sub>. This can be identified with Div<sub>d</sub><sup>+</sup>(G), the moduli scheme for effective divisors of degree d on G.
- There is a dual version  $E_*^{\vee}(X)$  and quotient theories  $K^*(X)$  and  $K_*(X)$ with  $K^0(\text{point}) = \mathbb{Z}/p$ .

- Morava E-theory is a generalised cohomology theory giving a graded ring E\*X for every space X.
- $E^* = E^*(\text{point}) = \mathbb{Z}_p[\![u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]\!][u^{\pm 1}]$  with  $|u_i| = 0$  and |u| = -2.

• 
$$E^*BS^1 = E^*\mathbb{C}P^\infty \simeq E^*\llbracket t \rrbracket$$
 with  $|t| = 0$ .

- It is often natural to formulate results in terms of the formal scheme X<sub>E</sub> = spf(E<sup>0</sup>X) (similar to the ordinary scheme spec(E<sup>0</sup>X)) rather than directly in terms of E<sup>0</sup>X.
- The formal scheme  $\mathbb{G} = (BS^1)_E$  has a natural abelian group structure.
- For finite abelian groups A we have BA<sub>E</sub> = Hom(A<sup>\*</sup>, G) = Tor(A, G), where A<sup>\*</sup> = Hom(A, S<sup>1</sup>) is the character group.

$$E^{0}BC_{p^{m}} = E^{0}[[t]]/[p^{m}](t) = E^{0}\{t^{i} \mid 0 \leq i < p^{nm}\},\$$

- We also have BU(d)<sub>E</sub> = G<sup>d</sup>/∑<sub>d</sub>. This can be identified with Div<sub>d</sub><sup>+</sup>(G), the moduli scheme for effective divisors of degree d on G.
- There is a dual version  $E^{\vee}_*(X)$  and quotient theories  $K^*(X)$  and  $K_*(X)$ with  $K^0(\text{point}) = \mathbb{Z}/p$ .

- Morava E-theory is a generalised cohomology theory giving a graded ring E\*X for every space X.
- $E^* = E^*(\text{point}) = \mathbb{Z}_p[\![u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]\!][u^{\pm 1}]$  with  $|u_i| = 0$  and |u| = -2.

• 
$$E^*BS^1 = E^*\mathbb{C}P^\infty \simeq E^*\llbracket t \rrbracket$$
 with  $|t| = 0$ .

- It is often natural to formulate results in terms of the formal scheme X<sub>E</sub> = spf(E<sup>0</sup>X) (similar to the ordinary scheme spec(E<sup>0</sup>X)) rather than directly in terms of E<sup>0</sup>X.
- The formal scheme  $\mathbb{G} = (BS^1)_E$  has a natural abelian group structure.
- For finite abelian groups A we have BA<sub>E</sub> = Hom(A<sup>\*</sup>, G) = Tor(A, G), where A<sup>\*</sup> = Hom(A, S<sup>1</sup>) is the character group.
- More concretely,

$$E^{0}BC_{p^{m}} = E^{0}[[t]]/[p^{m}](t) = E^{0}\{t^{i} \mid 0 \leq i < p^{nm}\},\$$

- We also have BU(d)<sub>E</sub> = G<sup>d</sup>/Σ<sub>d</sub>. This can be identified with Div<sub>d</sub><sup>+</sup>(G), the moduli scheme for effective divisors of degree d on G.
- There is a dual version  $E_*^{\vee}(X)$  and quotient theories  $K^*(X)$  and  $K_*(X)$ with  $K^0(\text{point}) = \mathbb{Z}/p$ .

- Morava E-theory is a generalised cohomology theory giving a graded ring E\*X for every space X.
- $E^* = E^*(\text{point}) = \mathbb{Z}_p[\![u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]\!][u^{\pm 1}]$  with  $|u_i| = 0$  and |u| = -2.

• 
$$E^*BS^1 = E^*\mathbb{C}P^\infty \simeq E^*\llbracket t \rrbracket$$
 with  $|t| = 0$ .

- It is often natural to formulate results in terms of the formal scheme X<sub>E</sub> = spf(E<sup>0</sup>X) (similar to the ordinary scheme spec(E<sup>0</sup>X)) rather than directly in terms of E<sup>0</sup>X.
- The formal scheme  $\mathbb{G} = (BS^1)_E$  has a natural abelian group structure.
- For finite abelian groups A we have BA<sub>E</sub> = Hom(A<sup>\*</sup>, G) = Tor(A, G), where A<sup>\*</sup> = Hom(A, S<sup>1</sup>) is the character group.
- More concretely,

$$E^{0}BC_{p^{m}} = E^{0}[[t]]/[p^{m}](t) = E^{0}\{t^{i} \mid 0 \le i < p^{nm}\},\$$

- We also have BU(d)<sub>E</sub> = G<sup>d</sup>/Σ<sub>d</sub>. This can be identified with Div<sub>d</sub><sup>+</sup>(G), the moduli scheme for effective divisors of degree d on G.
- ► There is a dual version  $E_*^{\vee}(X)$  and quotient theories  $K^*(X)$  and  $K_*(X)$ with  $K^0(\text{point}) = \mathbb{Z}/p$ .

- Let *F* be a finite field of characteristic not equal to *p*.
- ▶ To simplify bookkeeping, we will assume that |F| = q with  $v_p(q-1) = r > 0$  so  $q = 1 \pmod{p^r}$  but  $q \neq 1 \pmod{p^{r+1}}$ . This implies that  $v_p(q^m 1) = v_p(m) + r$  for all m > 0.
- Let F be an algebraic closure of F. This has a Frobenius automorphism φ: x ↦ x<sup>q</sup>, and the Galois group Γ is isomorphic to Z, topologically generated by φ.

We put H = BGL<sub>1</sub>(F)<sub>E</sub>, which has a natural group structure. One can choose an isomorphism

$$GL_1(\overline{F})\simeq \{u\in S^1\mid u^r=1 ext{ for some } r\in \mathbb{Z}, \ (r,q)=1\},$$

and using this we find that  $\mathbb{H}$  is noncanonically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G} = (BS^1)_E$ , and canonically isomorphic to  $\text{Tor}(\overline{F}^{\times}, \mathbb{G})$ .

Generalised character theory compares G with Θ = (Z/p<sup>∞</sup>)<sup>n</sup>. We will also compare H with Φ = Tor(F<sup>×</sup>, Θ) ≃ Hom(Θ<sup>\*</sup>, F<sup>×</sup>) (so Φ is noncanonically isomorphic to Θ).

### Let F be a finite field of characteristic not equal to p.

- ▶ To simplify bookkeeping, we will assume that |F| = q with  $v_p(q-1) = r > 0$  so  $q = 1 \pmod{p^r}$  but  $q \neq 1 \pmod{p^{r+1}}$ . This implies that  $v_p(q^m 1) = v_p(m) + r$  for all m > 0.
- Let F be an algebraic closure of F. This has a Frobenius automorphism φ: x ↦ x<sup>q</sup>, and the Galois group Γ is isomorphic to Z, topologically generated by φ.

We put H = BGL<sub>1</sub>(F)<sub>E</sub>, which has a natural group structure. One can choose an isomorphism

$$\mathit{GL}_1(\overline{F})\simeq \{u\in S^1\mid u^r=1 ext{ for some } r\in \mathbb{Z}, \ (r,q)=1\},$$

and using this we find that  $\mathbb{H}$  is noncanonically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G} = (BS^1)_E$ , and canonically isomorphic to  $\text{Tor}(\overline{F}^{\times}, \mathbb{G})$ .

Generalised character theory compares G with Θ = (Z/p<sup>∞</sup>)<sup>n</sup>. We will also compare H with Φ = Tor(F<sup>×</sup>, Θ) ≃ Hom(Θ<sup>\*</sup>, F<sup>×</sup>) (so Φ is noncanonically isomorphic to Θ).

- Let F be a finite field of characteristic not equal to p.
- ▶ To simplify bookkeeping, we will assume that |F| = q with  $v_p(q-1) = r > 0$  so  $q = 1 \pmod{p^r}$  but  $q \neq 1 \pmod{p^{r+1}}$ . This implies that  $v_p(q^m 1) = v_p(m) + r$  for all m > 0.
- Let F be an algebraic closure of F. This has a Frobenius automorphism φ: x ↦ x<sup>q</sup>, and the Galois group Γ is isomorphic to Z, topologically generated by φ.
- We put H = BGL<sub>1</sub>(F)<sub>E</sub>, which has a natural group structure. One can choose an isomorphism

$$GL_1(\overline{F}) \simeq \{ u \in S^1 \mid u^r = 1 \text{ for some } r \in \mathbb{Z}, \ (r,q) = 1 \},$$

and using this we find that  $\mathbb{H}$  is noncanonically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G} = (BS^1)_E$ , and canonically isomorphic to  $\text{Tor}(\overline{F}^{\times}, \mathbb{G})$ .

Generalised character theory compares G with Θ = (Z/p<sup>∞</sup>)<sup>n</sup>. We will also compare H with Φ = Tor(F<sup>×</sup>, Θ) ≃ Hom(Θ<sup>\*</sup>, F<sup>×</sup>) (so Φ is noncanonically isomorphic to Θ).

- Let F be a finite field of characteristic not equal to p.
- ▶ To simplify bookkeeping, we will assume that |F| = q with  $v_p(q-1) = r > 0$  so  $q = 1 \pmod{p^r}$  but  $q \neq 1 \pmod{p^{r+1}}$ . This implies that  $v_p(q^m 1) = v_p(m) + r$  for all m > 0.
- Let F be an algebraic closure of F. This has a Frobenius automorphism φ: x ↦ x<sup>q</sup>, and the Galois group Γ is isomorphic to Z, topologically generated by φ.

We put H = BGL<sub>1</sub>(F)<sub>E</sub>, which has a natural group structure. One can choose an isomorphism

$$GL_1(\overline{F}) \simeq \{ u \in S^1 \mid u^r = 1 \text{ for some } r \in \mathbb{Z}, \ (r,q) = 1 \},$$

and using this we find that  $\mathbb{H}$  is noncanonically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G} = (BS^1)_E$ , and canonically isomorphic to  $\text{Tor}(\overline{F}^{\times}, \mathbb{G})$ .

Generalised character theory compares G with Θ = (Z/p<sup>∞</sup>)<sup>n</sup>. We will also compare H with Φ = Tor(F<sup>×</sup>, Θ) ≃ Hom(Θ<sup>\*</sup>, F<sup>×</sup>) (so Φ is noncanonically isomorphic to Θ).

- Let F be a finite field of characteristic not equal to p.
- ▶ To simplify bookkeeping, we will assume that |F| = q with  $v_p(q-1) = r > 0$  so  $q = 1 \pmod{p^r}$  but  $q \neq 1 \pmod{p^{r+1}}$ . This implies that  $v_p(q^m 1) = v_p(m) + r$  for all m > 0.
- Let F be an algebraic closure of F. This has a Frobenius automorphism φ: x → x<sup>q</sup>, and the Galois group Γ is isomorphic to Z, topologically generated by φ.

We put H = BGL<sub>1</sub>(F)<sub>E</sub>, which has a natural group structure. One can choose an isomorphism

$$GL_1(\overline{F}) \simeq \{ u \in S^1 \mid u^r = 1 \text{ for some } r \in \mathbb{Z}, \ (r,q) = 1 \},$$

and using this we find that  $\mathbb{H}$  is noncanonically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G} = (BS^1)_E$ , and canonically isomorphic to  $\operatorname{Tor}(\overline{F}^{\times}, \mathbb{G})$ .

Generalised character theory compares G with Θ = (Z/p<sup>∞</sup>)<sup>n</sup>. We will also compare H with Φ = Tor(F<sup>×</sup>, Θ) ≃ Hom(Θ<sup>\*</sup>, F<sup>×</sup>) (so Φ is noncanonically isomorphic to Θ).

- Let F be a finite field of characteristic not equal to p.
- ▶ To simplify bookkeeping, we will assume that |F| = q with  $v_p(q-1) = r > 0$  so  $q = 1 \pmod{p^r}$  but  $q \neq 1 \pmod{p^{r+1}}$ . This implies that  $v_p(q^m 1) = v_p(m) + r$  for all m > 0.
- Let F be an algebraic closure of F. This has a Frobenius automorphism φ: x → x<sup>q</sup>, and the Galois group Γ is isomorphic to Z, topologically generated by φ.

We put H = BGL<sub>1</sub>(F)<sub>E</sub>, which has a natural group structure. One can choose an isomorphism

$$\mathit{GL}_1(\overline{F})\simeq \{u\in S^1\mid u^r=1 ext{ for some } r\in \mathbb{Z}, \ (r,q)=1\},$$

and using this we find that  $\mathbb{H}$  is noncanonically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G} = (BS^1)_E$ , and canonically isomorphic to  $\text{Tor}(\overline{F}^{\times}, \mathbb{G})$ .

Generalised character theory compares G with Θ = (Z/p<sup>∞</sup>)<sup>n</sup>. We will also compare H with Φ = Tor(F<sup>×</sup>, Θ) ≃ Hom(Θ<sup>\*</sup>, F<sup>×</sup>) (so Φ is noncanonically isomorphic to Θ).

- Let F be a finite field of characteristic not equal to p.
- ▶ To simplify bookkeeping, we will assume that |F| = q with  $v_p(q-1) = r > 0$  so  $q = 1 \pmod{p^r}$  but  $q \neq 1 \pmod{p^{r+1}}$ . This implies that  $v_p(q^m 1) = v_p(m) + r$  for all m > 0.
- Let F be an algebraic closure of F. This has a Frobenius automorphism φ: x → x<sup>q</sup>, and the Galois group Γ is isomorphic to Z, topologically generated by φ.
- We put  $\mathbb{H} = BGL_1(\overline{F})_E$ , which has a natural group structure.

$$GL_1(\overline{F}) \simeq \{ u \in S^1 \mid u^r = 1 \text{ for some } r \in \mathbb{Z}, \ (r,q) = 1 \},$$

and using this we find that  $\mathbb{H}$  is noncanonically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G} = (BS^1)_E$ , and canonically isomorphic to  $\text{Tor}(\overline{F}^{\times}, \mathbb{G})$ .

Generalised character theory compares G with Θ = (Z/p<sup>∞</sup>)<sup>n</sup>. We will also compare H with Φ = Tor(F<sup>×</sup>, Θ) ≃ Hom(Θ<sup>\*</sup>, F<sup>×</sup>) (so Φ is noncanonically isomorphic to Θ).

- Let F be a finite field of characteristic not equal to p.
- ▶ To simplify bookkeeping, we will assume that |F| = q with  $v_p(q-1) = r > 0$  so  $q = 1 \pmod{p^r}$  but  $q \neq 1 \pmod{p^{r+1}}$ . This implies that  $v_p(q^m 1) = v_p(m) + r$  for all m > 0.
- Let F be an algebraic closure of F. This has a Frobenius automorphism φ: x → x<sup>q</sup>, and the Galois group Γ is isomorphic to Z, topologically generated by φ.
- We put H = BGL<sub>1</sub>(F)<sub>E</sub>, which has a natural group structure. One can choose an isomorphism

$$GL_1(\overline{F}) \simeq \{ u \in S^1 \mid u^r = 1 \text{ for some } r \in \mathbb{Z}, \ (r,q) = 1 \},$$

and using this we find that  $\mathbb{H}$  is noncanonically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G} = (BS^1)_E$ , and canonically isomorphic to  $\text{Tor}(\overline{F}^{\times}, \mathbb{G})$ .

Generalised character theory compares G with Θ = (Z/p<sup>∞</sup>)<sup>n</sup>. We will also compare H with Φ = Tor(F<sup>×</sup>, Θ) ≃ Hom(Θ<sup>\*</sup>, F<sup>×</sup>) (so Φ is noncanonically isomorphic to Θ).

- Let F be a finite field of characteristic not equal to p.
- ▶ To simplify bookkeeping, we will assume that |F| = q with  $v_p(q-1) = r > 0$  so  $q = 1 \pmod{p^r}$  but  $q \neq 1 \pmod{p^{r+1}}$ . This implies that  $v_p(q^m 1) = v_p(m) + r$  for all m > 0.
- Let F be an algebraic closure of F. This has a Frobenius automorphism φ: x → x<sup>q</sup>, and the Galois group Γ is isomorphic to Z, topologically generated by φ.
- We put H = BGL<sub>1</sub>(F)<sub>E</sub>, which has a natural group structure. One can choose an isomorphism

$$GL_1(\overline{F}) \simeq \{ u \in S^1 \mid u^r = 1 \text{ for some } r \in \mathbb{Z}, \ (r,q) = 1 \},$$

and using this we find that  $\mathbb{H}$  is noncanonically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G} = (BS^1)_E$ , and canonically isomorphic to  $\text{Tor}(\overline{F}^{\times}, \mathbb{G})$ .

Generalised character theory compares G with Θ = (Z/p<sup>∞</sup>)<sup>n</sup>. We will also compare H with Φ = Tor(F<sup>×</sup>, Θ) ≃ Hom(Θ<sup>\*</sup>, F<sup>×</sup>) (so Φ is noncanonically isomorphic to Θ).

### Theorem

The inclusion  $GL_1(\overline{F})^d \to GL_d(\overline{F})$  induces  $GL_d(\overline{F})_E \simeq \mathbb{H}^d / \Sigma_d \simeq \text{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H})$ . Equivalently,

 $E^{0}(BGL_{1}(\overline{F})^{d}) = E^{0}\llbracket x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d} \rrbracket,$ 

and  $E^0BGL_d(\overline{F})$  is the subring of symmetric functions, generated by elementary symmetric functions  $c_1, \ldots, c_d$ .

### Proof.

This is built into the foundations of étale homotopy theory. The main point is that one can build a torsion-free local ring  $\overline{W}$ (the Witt ring of  $\overline{F}$ ) with residue field  $\overline{F}$ . One can then choose an embedding  $\overline{W} \to \mathbb{C}$ . Using the fact that |F| is coprime to p, one can check that the maps

$$BGL_d(\overline{F}) \leftarrow BGL_d(\overline{W}) \rightarrow BGL_d(\mathbb{C})$$

induce isomorphisms in mod *p* cohomology. The claim follows easily from this.

### Theorem

The inclusion  $GL_1(\overline{F})^d \to GL_d(\overline{F})$  induces  $GL_d(\overline{F})_E \simeq \mathbb{H}^d / \Sigma_d \simeq \text{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H})$ . Equivalently,

$$E^0(BGL_1(\overline{F})^d) = E^0\llbracket x_1,\ldots,x_d
rbracket,$$

and  $E^0BGL_d(\overline{F})$  is the subring of symmetric functions, generated by elementary symmetric functions  $c_1, \ldots, c_d$ .

### Proof.

This is built into the foundations of étale homotopy theory. The main point is that one can build a torsion-free local ring  $\overline{W}$ (the Witt ring of  $\overline{F}$ ) with residue field  $\overline{F}$ . One can then choose an embedding  $\overline{W} \to \mathbb{C}$ . Using the fact that |F| is coprime to p, one can check that the maps

$$BGL_d(\overline{F}) \leftarrow BGL_d(\overline{W}) \rightarrow BGL_d(\mathbb{C})$$

induce isomorphisms in mod *p* cohomology. The claim follows easily from this.

### Theorem

The inclusion  $GL_1(\overline{F})^d \to GL_d(\overline{F})$  induces  $GL_d(\overline{F})_E \simeq \mathbb{H}^d / \Sigma_d \simeq \text{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H})$ . Equivalently,

$$E^0(BGL_1(\overline{F})^d) = E^0\llbracket x_1,\ldots,x_d
rbracket,$$

and  $E^0BGL_d(\overline{F})$  is the subring of symmetric functions, generated by elementary symmetric functions  $c_1, \ldots, c_d$ .

### Proof.

### This is built into the foundations of étale homotopy theory.

The main point is that one can build a torsion-free local ring W (the Witt ring of  $\overline{F}$ ) with residue field  $\overline{F}$ . One can then choose an embedding  $\overline{W} \to \mathbb{C}$ . Using the fact that |F| is coprime to p, one can check that the map

$$BGL_d(\overline{F}) \leftarrow BGL_d(\overline{W}) \rightarrow BGL_d(\mathbb{C})$$

induce isomorphisms in mod *p* cohomology. The claim follows easily from this.
The inclusion  $GL_1(\overline{F})^d \to GL_d(\overline{F})$  induces  $GL_d(\overline{F})_E \simeq \mathbb{H}^d / \Sigma_d \simeq \text{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H})$ . Equivalently,

$$E^0(BGL_1(\overline{F})^d) = E^0\llbracket x_1,\ldots,x_d
rbracket,$$

and  $E^0BGL_d(\overline{F})$  is the subring of symmetric functions, generated by elementary symmetric functions  $c_1, \ldots, c_d$ .

### Proof.

This is built into the foundations of étale homotopy theory. The main point is that one can build a torsion-free local ring  $\overline{W}$  (the Witt ring of  $\overline{F}$ ) with residue field  $\overline{F}$ .

One can then choose an embedding  $\overline{W} \to \mathbb{C}$ . Using the fact that |F| is coprime to p, one can check that the maps

 $BGL_d(\overline{F}) \leftarrow BGL_d(\overline{W}) \rightarrow BGL_d(\mathbb{C})$ 

induce isomorphisms in mod *p* cohomology. The claim follows easily from this.

The inclusion  $GL_1(\overline{F})^d \to GL_d(\overline{F})$  induces  $GL_d(\overline{F})_E \simeq \mathbb{H}^d / \Sigma_d \simeq \text{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H})$ . Equivalently,

$$E^0(BGL_1(\overline{F})^d) = E^0\llbracket x_1,\ldots,x_d
rbracket,$$

and  $E^0BGL_d(\overline{F})$  is the subring of symmetric functions, generated by elementary symmetric functions  $c_1, \ldots, c_d$ .

### Proof.

This is built into the foundations of étale homotopy theory. The main point is that one can build a torsion-free local ring  $\overline{W}$  (the Witt ring of  $\overline{F}$ ) with residue field  $\overline{F}$ . One can then choose an embedding  $\overline{W} \to \mathbb{C}$ . Using the fact that |F| is coprime to p, one can check that the map

 $BGL_d(\overline{F}) \leftarrow BGL_d(\overline{W}) \rightarrow BGL_d(\mathbb{C})$ 

induce isomorphisms in mod *p* cohomology. The claim follows easily from this.

The inclusion  $GL_1(\overline{F})^d \to GL_d(\overline{F})$  induces  $GL_d(\overline{F})_E \simeq \mathbb{H}^d / \Sigma_d \simeq \text{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H})$ . Equivalently,

$$E^0(BGL_1(\overline{F})^d) = E^0\llbracket x_1,\ldots,x_d
rbracket,$$

and  $E^0BGL_d(\overline{F})$  is the subring of symmetric functions, generated by elementary symmetric functions  $c_1, \ldots, c_d$ .

#### Proof.

This is built into the foundations of étale homotopy theory. The main point is that one can build a torsion-free local ring  $\overline{W}$  (the Witt ring of  $\overline{F}$ ) with residue field  $\overline{F}$ . One can then choose an embedding  $\overline{W} \to \mathbb{C}$ . Using the fact that |F| is coprime to p, one can check that the maps

$$BGL_d(\overline{F}) \leftarrow BGL_d(\overline{W}) \rightarrow BGL_d(\mathbb{C})$$

induce isomorphisms in mod p cohomology.

The claim follows easily from this.

The inclusion  $GL_1(\overline{F})^d \to GL_d(\overline{F})$  induces  $GL_d(\overline{F})_E \simeq \mathbb{H}^d / \Sigma_d \simeq \text{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H})$ . Equivalently,

$$E^0(BGL_1(\overline{F})^d) = E^0\llbracket x_1,\ldots,x_d
rbracket,$$

and  $E^0BGL_d(\overline{F})$  is the subring of symmetric functions, generated by elementary symmetric functions  $c_1, \ldots, c_d$ .

#### Proof.

This is built into the foundations of étale homotopy theory. The main point is that one can build a torsion-free local ring  $\overline{W}$  (the Witt ring of  $\overline{F}$ ) with residue field  $\overline{F}$ . One can then choose an embedding  $\overline{W} \to \mathbb{C}$ . Using the fact that |F| is coprime to p, one can check that the maps

$$BGL_d(\overline{F}) \leftarrow BGL_d(\overline{W}) \rightarrow BGL_d(\mathbb{C})$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

induce isomorphisms in mod p cohomology. The claim follows easily from this.

# Recall that the group $\Gamma = \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ is generated by the Frobenius map $\phi$ .

Theorem (Tanabe)

The elements

$$\phi^*(c_k) - c_k \in E^0 BGL_d(\overline{F}) = E^0 \llbracket c_1, \ldots, c_d \rrbracket$$

form a regular sequence, and

$$E^{0}BGL_{d}(F) = \frac{E^{0}\llbracket c_{1}, \ldots, c_{d} \rrbracket}{(\phi^{*}(c_{1}) - c_{1}, \ldots, \phi^{*}(c_{d}) - c_{d})} = (E^{0}BGL_{d}(\overline{F}))_{\Gamma}$$

Equivalently, we have  $BGL_d(F)_E = \text{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .

In many respects this is very satisfactory, but there are many natural questions that cannot be answered without more detailed algebraic analysis.

Recall that the group  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$  is generated by the Frobenius map  $\phi$ . Theorem (Tanabe)

The elements

$$\phi^*(c_k) - c_k \in E^0 BGL_d(\overline{F}) = E^0 \llbracket c_1, \ldots, c_d \rrbracket$$

form a regular sequence, and

$$E^{0}BGL_{d}(F) = \frac{E^{0}\llbracket c_{1}, \ldots, c_{d} \rrbracket}{(\phi^{*}(c_{1}) - c_{1}, \ldots, \phi^{*}(c_{d}) - c_{d})} = (E^{0}BGL_{d}(\overline{F}))_{\Gamma}$$

Equivalently, we have  $BGL_d(F)_E = \text{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .

In many respects this is very satisfactory, but there are many natural questions that cannot be answered without more detailed algebraic analysis.

Recall that the group  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$  is generated by the Frobenius map  $\phi$ . Theorem (Tanabe)

The elements

$$\phi^*(c_k) - c_k \in E^0 BGL_d(\overline{F}) = E^0 \llbracket c_1, \ldots, c_d \rrbracket$$

form a regular sequence, and

$$E^{0}BGL_{d}(F) = \frac{E^{0}\llbracket c_{1}, \ldots, c_{d} \rrbracket}{(\phi^{*}(c_{1}) - c_{1}, \ldots, \phi^{*}(c_{d}) - c_{d})} = (E^{0}BGL_{d}(\overline{F}))_{\Gamma}$$

Equivalently, we have  $BGL_d(F)_E = \text{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .

In many respects this is very satisfactory, but there are many natural questions that cannot be answered without more detailed algebraic analysis.

Recall that the group  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$  is generated by the Frobenius map  $\phi$ . Theorem (Tanabe)

The elements

$$\phi^*(c_k) - c_k \in E^0 BGL_d(\overline{F}) = E^0 \llbracket c_1, \ldots, c_d \rrbracket$$

form a regular sequence, and

$$E^{0}BGL_{d}(F) = \frac{E^{0}\llbracket c_{1}, \ldots, c_{d} \rrbracket}{(\phi^{*}(c_{1}) - c_{1}, \ldots, \phi^{*}(c_{d}) - c_{d})} = (E^{0}BGL_{d}(\overline{F}))_{\Gamma}$$

Equivalently, we have  $BGL_d(F)_E = \text{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .

In many respects this is very satisfactory, but there are many natural questions that cannot be answered without more detailed algebraic analysis.

- Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the groupoid of finite dimensional vector spaces over F, and their isomorphisms. Then  $B\mathcal{V} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(F)$ .
- ▶ We write  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$  for the corresponding groupoid for  $\overline{F}$ , so  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(\overline{F})$ .
- ▶ Now  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}}_E = \coprod_d \operatorname{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H}) = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})$ , and the functor  $V \mapsto \overline{F} \otimes_F V$  gives  $B\mathcal{V}_E = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .
- The functors ⊕, ⊗: V<sup>2</sup> → V make BV a commutative semiring in the homotopy category of spaces. This in turn makes BV<sub>E</sub> a commutative semiring in the category of formal schemes. This matches an obvious commutative semiring structure on Div<sup>+</sup>(ℝ)<sup>Γ</sup>.
- Alternatively,  $E_*^{\vee}(B\mathcal{V})$  and  $K_*(B\mathcal{V})$  are Hopf rings.
- Some other groupoids are also relevant, for example

 $\mathcal{L} = \{(X, L) \mid X \text{ is a finite set, and } L \text{ is an } F\text{-linear line bundle over } X\}.$ 

This has  $B\mathcal{L} \simeq \coprod_d E\Sigma_d \times_{\Sigma_d} BGL_1(F)^d$ . There is a functor  $\pi \colon \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{V}$  given by  $\pi(X, L) = \bigoplus_x L_x$ .

• Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the groupoid of finite dimensional vector spaces over F, and their isomorphisms. Then  $B\mathcal{V} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(F)$ .

• We write  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$  for the corresponding groupoid for  $\overline{F}$ , so  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(\overline{F})$ .

▶ Now  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}}_E = \coprod_d \operatorname{Div}^+_d(\mathbb{H}) = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H}),$ and the functor  $V \mapsto \overline{F} \otimes_F V$  gives  $B\mathcal{V}_E = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .

- The functors ⊕, ⊗: V<sup>2</sup> → V make BV a commutative semiring in the homotopy category of spaces. This in turn makes BV<sub>E</sub> a commutative semiring in the category of formal schemes. This matches an obvious commutative semiring structure on Div<sup>+</sup>(⊞)<sup>Γ</sup>.
- Alternatively,  $E_*^{\vee}(B\mathcal{V})$  and  $K_*(B\mathcal{V})$  are Hopf rings.
- Some other groupoids are also relevant, for example

 $\mathcal{L} = \{(X, L) \mid X \text{ is a finite set, and } L \text{ is an } F\text{-linear line bundle over } X\}.$ 

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

This has  $B\mathcal{L} \simeq \coprod_d E\Sigma_d \times_{\Sigma_d} BGL_1(F)^d$ . There is a functor  $\pi \colon \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{V}$  given by  $\pi(X, L) = \bigoplus_x L_x$ .

• Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the groupoid of finite dimensional vector spaces over F, and their isomorphisms. Then  $B\mathcal{V} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(F)$ .

• We write  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$  for the corresponding groupoid for  $\overline{F}$ , so  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(\overline{F})$ .

- ▶ Now  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}}_E = \coprod_d \operatorname{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H}) = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})$ , and the functor  $V \mapsto \overline{F} \otimes_F V$  gives  $B\mathcal{V}_E = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .
- The functors ⊕, ⊗: V<sup>2</sup> → V make BV a commutative semiring in the homotopy category of spaces. This in turn makes BV<sub>E</sub> a commutative semiring in the category of formal schemes. This matches an obvious commutative semiring structure on Div<sup>+</sup>(⊞)<sup>Γ</sup>.
- Alternatively,  $E_*^{\vee}(B\mathcal{V})$  and  $K_*(B\mathcal{V})$  are Hopf rings.
- Some other groupoids are also relevant, for example

 $\mathcal{L} = \{(X, L) \mid X \text{ is a finite set, and } L \text{ is an } F\text{-linear line bundle over } X\}.$ 

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

This has  $B\mathcal{L} \simeq \coprod_d E\Sigma_d \times_{\Sigma_d} BGL_1(F)^d$ . There is a functor  $\pi \colon \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{V}$  given by  $\pi(X, L) = \bigoplus_x L_x$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the groupoid of finite dimensional vector spaces over F, and their isomorphisms. Then  $B\mathcal{V} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(F)$ .
- We write  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$  for the corresponding groupoid for  $\overline{F}$ , so  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(\overline{F})$ .
- ▶ Now  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}}_E = \coprod_d \operatorname{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H}) = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})$ , and the functor  $V \mapsto \overline{F} \otimes_F V$  gives  $B\mathcal{V}_E = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .
- The functors ⊕, ⊗: V<sup>2</sup> → V make BV a commutative semiring in the homotopy category of spaces. This in turn makes BV<sub>E</sub> a commutative semiring in the category of formal schemes. This matches an obvious commutative semiring structure on Div<sup>+</sup>(ℝ)<sup>Γ</sup>.
- Alternatively,  $E_*^{\vee}(B\mathcal{V})$  and  $K_*(B\mathcal{V})$  are Hopf rings.
- Some other groupoids are also relevant, for example

 $\mathcal{L} = \{(X, L) \mid X \text{ is a finite set, and } L \text{ is an } F\text{-linear line bundle over } X\}.$ 

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

This has  $B\mathcal{L} \simeq \coprod_d E\Sigma_d \times_{\Sigma_d} BGL_1(F)^d$ . There is a functor  $\pi \colon \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{V}$  given by  $\pi(X, L) = \bigoplus_x L_x$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the groupoid of finite dimensional vector spaces over F, and their isomorphisms. Then  $B\mathcal{V} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(F)$ .
- We write  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$  for the corresponding groupoid for  $\overline{F}$ , so  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(\overline{F})$ .
- ▶ Now  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}}_E = \coprod_d \operatorname{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H}) = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})$ , and the functor  $V \mapsto \overline{F} \otimes_F V$  gives  $B\mathcal{V}_E = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .
- The functors ⊕, ⊗: V<sup>2</sup> → V make BV a commutative semiring in the homotopy category of spaces. This in turn makes BV<sub>E</sub> a commutative semiring in the category of formal schemes. This matches an obvious commutative semiring structure on Div<sup>+</sup>(⊞)<sup>Γ</sup>.
- Alternatively,  $E_*^{\vee}(B\mathcal{V})$  and  $K_*(B\mathcal{V})$  are Hopf rings.
- Some other groupoids are also relevant, for example

 $\mathcal{L} = \{(X, L) \mid X \text{ is a finite set, and } L \text{ is an } F\text{-linear line bundle over } X\}.$ 

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

This has  $B\mathcal{L} \simeq \coprod_d E\Sigma_d \times_{\Sigma_d} BGL_1(F)^d$ . There is a functor  $\pi \colon \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{V}$  given by  $\pi(X, L) = \bigoplus_x L_x$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the groupoid of finite dimensional vector spaces over F, and their isomorphisms. Then  $B\mathcal{V} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(F)$ .
- We write  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$  for the corresponding groupoid for  $\overline{F}$ , so  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(\overline{F})$ .
- ▶ Now  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}}_E = \coprod_d \operatorname{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H}) = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})$ , and the functor  $V \mapsto \overline{F} \otimes_F V$  gives  $B\mathcal{V}_E = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .
- The functors ⊕, ⊗: V<sup>2</sup> → V make BV a commutative semiring in the homotopy category of spaces. This in turn makes BV<sub>E</sub> a commutative semiring in the category of formal schemes. This matches an obvious commutative semiring structure on Div<sup>+</sup>(⊞)<sup>Γ</sup>.
- Alternatively,  $E_*^{\vee}(B\mathcal{V})$  and  $K_*(B\mathcal{V})$  are Hopf rings.
- Some other groupoids are also relevant, for example

 $\mathcal{L} = \{(X, L) \mid X \text{ is a finite set, and } L \text{ is an } F\text{-linear line bundle over } X\}.$ 

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

This has  $B\mathcal{L} \simeq \coprod_d E\Sigma_d \times_{\Sigma_d} BGL_1(F)^d$ . There is a functor  $\pi \colon \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{V}$  given by  $\pi(X, L) = \bigoplus_x L_x$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the groupoid of finite dimensional vector spaces over F, and their isomorphisms. Then  $B\mathcal{V} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(F)$ .
- We write  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$  for the corresponding groupoid for  $\overline{F}$ , so  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(\overline{F})$ .
- ▶ Now  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}}_E = \coprod_d \operatorname{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H}) = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})$ , and the functor  $V \mapsto \overline{F} \otimes_F V$  gives  $B\mathcal{V}_E = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .
- The functors ⊕, ⊗: V<sup>2</sup> → V make BV a commutative semiring in the homotopy category of spaces. This in turn makes BV<sub>E</sub> a commutative semiring in the category of formal schemes. This matches an obvious commutative semiring structure on Div<sup>+</sup>(⊞)<sup>Γ</sup>.
- Alternatively,  $E_*^{\lor}(B\mathcal{V})$  and  $K_*(B\mathcal{V})$  are Hopf rings.
- Some other groupoids are also relevant, for example

 $\mathcal{L} = \{(X, L) \mid X \text{ is a finite set, and } L \text{ is an } F\text{-linear line bundle over } X\}.$ 

This has  $B\mathcal{L} \simeq \coprod_d E\Sigma_d \times_{\Sigma_d} BGL_1(F)^d$ . There is a functor  $\pi \colon \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{V}$  given by  $\pi(X, L) = \bigoplus_x L_x$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the groupoid of finite dimensional vector spaces over F, and their isomorphisms. Then  $B\mathcal{V} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(F)$ .
- We write  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$  for the corresponding groupoid for  $\overline{F}$ , so  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(\overline{F})$ .
- ▶ Now  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}}_E = \coprod_d \operatorname{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H}) = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})$ , and the functor  $V \mapsto \overline{F} \otimes_F V$  gives  $B\mathcal{V}_E = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .
- The functors ⊕, ⊗: V<sup>2</sup> → V make BV a commutative semiring in the homotopy category of spaces. This in turn makes BV<sub>E</sub> a commutative semiring in the category of formal schemes. This matches an obvious commutative semiring structure on Div<sup>+</sup>(⊞)<sup>Γ</sup>.
- Alternatively,  $E_*^{\vee}(B\mathcal{V})$  and  $K_*(B\mathcal{V})$  are Hopf rings.
- Some other groupoids are also relevant, for example

 $\mathcal{L} = \{(X, L) \mid X \text{ is a finite set, and } L \text{ is an } F\text{-linear line bundle over } X\}.$ 

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

This has  $B\mathcal{L} \simeq \coprod_d E\Sigma_d \times_{\Sigma_d} BGL_1(F)^d$ . There is a functor  $\pi \colon \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{V}$  given by  $\pi(X, L) = \bigoplus_x L_x$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the groupoid of finite dimensional vector spaces over F, and their isomorphisms. Then  $B\mathcal{V} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(F)$ .
- We write  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$  for the corresponding groupoid for  $\overline{F}$ , so  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(\overline{F})$ .
- ▶ Now  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}}_E = \coprod_d \operatorname{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H}) = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})$ , and the functor  $V \mapsto \overline{F} \otimes_F V$  gives  $B\mathcal{V}_E = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .
- The functors ⊕, ⊗: V<sup>2</sup> → V make BV a commutative semiring in the homotopy category of spaces. This in turn makes BV<sub>E</sub> a commutative semiring in the category of formal schemes. This matches an obvious commutative semiring structure on Div<sup>+</sup>(⊞)<sup>Γ</sup>.
- Alternatively,  $E_*^{\vee}(B\mathcal{V})$  and  $K_*(B\mathcal{V})$  are Hopf rings.
- Some other groupoids are also relevant, for example

 $\mathcal{L} = \{(X, L) \mid X \text{ is a finite set, and } L \text{ is an } F\text{-linear line bundle over } X\}.$ 

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

This has  $B\mathcal{L} \simeq \coprod_d E\Sigma_d \times_{\Sigma_d} BGL_1(F)^d$ . There is a functor  $\pi \colon \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{V}$  given by  $\pi(X, L) = \bigoplus_* L_x$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the groupoid of finite dimensional vector spaces over F, and their isomorphisms. Then  $B\mathcal{V} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(F)$ .
- We write  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$  for the corresponding groupoid for  $\overline{F}$ , so  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(\overline{F})$ .
- ▶ Now  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}}_E = \coprod_d \operatorname{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H}) = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})$ , and the functor  $V \mapsto \overline{F} \otimes_F V$  gives  $B\mathcal{V}_E = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .
- The functors ⊕, ⊗: V<sup>2</sup> → V make BV a commutative semiring in the homotopy category of spaces. This in turn makes BV<sub>E</sub> a commutative semiring in the category of formal schemes. This matches an obvious commutative semiring structure on Div<sup>+</sup>(⊞)<sup>Γ</sup>.
- Alternatively,  $E_*^{\vee}(B\mathcal{V})$  and  $K_*(B\mathcal{V})$  are Hopf rings.
- Some other groupoids are also relevant, for example

 $\mathcal{L} = \{(X, L) \mid X \text{ is a finite set, and } L \text{ is an } F \text{-linear line bundle over } X\}.$ 

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

This has  $B\mathcal{L}\simeq \coprod_d E\Sigma_d imes_{\Sigma_d} BGL_1(F)^d$ .

There is a functor  $\pi \colon \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{V}$  given by  $\pi(X, L) = \bigoplus_{x} L_{x}$ .

► The index of  $\Sigma_d \wr GL_1(F)^d$  in  $GL_d(F)$  has index coprime to p, so  $B\mathcal{L} \to B\mathcal{V}$  gives an epimorphism in *E*-cohomology. Earlier work on symmetric groups gives a good understanding of  $E^0 B\mathcal{L}$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the groupoid of finite dimensional vector spaces over F, and their isomorphisms. Then  $B\mathcal{V} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(F)$ .
- We write  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$  for the corresponding groupoid for  $\overline{F}$ , so  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(\overline{F})$ .
- ▶ Now  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}}_E = \coprod_d \operatorname{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H}) = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})$ , and the functor  $V \mapsto \overline{F} \otimes_F V$  gives  $B\mathcal{V}_E = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .
- The functors ⊕, ⊗: V<sup>2</sup> → V make BV a commutative semiring in the homotopy category of spaces. This in turn makes BV<sub>E</sub> a commutative semiring in the category of formal schemes. This matches an obvious commutative semiring structure on Div<sup>+</sup>(⊞)<sup>Γ</sup>.
- Alternatively,  $E_*^{\vee}(B\mathcal{V})$  and  $K_*(B\mathcal{V})$  are Hopf rings.
- Some other groupoids are also relevant, for example

 $\mathcal{L} = \{(X, L) \mid X \text{ is a finite set, and } L \text{ is an } F \text{-linear line bundle over } X\}.$ 

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

This has  $B\mathcal{L} \simeq \coprod_d E\Sigma_d \times_{\Sigma_d} BGL_1(F)^d$ . There is a functor  $\pi \colon \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{V}$  given by  $\pi(X, L) = \bigoplus_x L_x$ .

► The index of  $\Sigma_d \wr GL_1(F)^d$  in  $GL_d(F)$  has index coprime to p, so  $B\mathcal{L} \to B\mathcal{V}$  gives an epimorphism in *E*-cohomology. Earlier work on symmetric groups gives a good understanding of  $E^0 B\mathcal{L}$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the groupoid of finite dimensional vector spaces over F, and their isomorphisms. Then  $B\mathcal{V} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(F)$ .
- We write  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$  for the corresponding groupoid for  $\overline{F}$ , so  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \coprod_d BGL_d(\overline{F})$ .
- ▶ Now  $B\overline{\mathcal{V}}_E = \coprod_d \operatorname{Div}_d^+(\mathbb{H}) = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})$ , and the functor  $V \mapsto \overline{F} \otimes_F V$  gives  $B\mathcal{V}_E = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .
- The functors ⊕, ⊗: V<sup>2</sup> → V make BV a commutative semiring in the homotopy category of spaces. This in turn makes BV<sub>E</sub> a commutative semiring in the category of formal schemes. This matches an obvious commutative semiring structure on Div<sup>+</sup>(⊞)<sup>Γ</sup>.
- Alternatively,  $E_*^{\vee}(B\mathcal{V})$  and  $K_*(B\mathcal{V})$  are Hopf rings.
- Some other groupoids are also relevant, for example

 $\mathcal{L} = \{(X, L) \mid X \text{ is a finite set, and } L \text{ is an } F \text{-linear line bundle over } X\}.$ 

This has  $B\mathcal{L} \simeq \coprod_d E\Sigma_d \times_{\Sigma_d} BGL_1(F)^d$ . There is a functor  $\pi \colon \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{V}$  given by  $\pi(X, L) = \bigoplus_x L_x$ .

- Put  $\Theta^* = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ , and regard it as a groupoid with one object.
- Hopkins, Kuhn and Ravenel defined a ring L which is an extension of Q ⊗ E<sup>0</sup> with Galois group Aut(Θ\*).
- Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a groupoid with finite hom sets.
- ▶ Write  $[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]$  for the set of natural isomorphism classes of functors  $\Theta^* \to \mathcal{G}$ .
- HKR constructed isomorphisms

 $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0 B \mathcal{G} \simeq \operatorname{Map}([\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}], L) \qquad \qquad L \otimes_{E_0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{G} \simeq L\{[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]\}.$ 

- ▶  $E_0^{\vee} B\mathcal{G}$  has a natural inner product, which becomes  $\langle [\alpha], [\beta] \rangle = |\operatorname{Iso}(\alpha, \beta)|$ on  $L\{[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]\}$ .
- We can identify [Θ<sup>\*</sup>, V] with Rep<sup>+</sup>(Θ<sup>\*</sup>; F), the semiring of isomorphism classes of F-linear representations of Θ<sup>\*</sup>.
- Additively, this is freely generated by the set  $Irr(\Theta^*; F)$  of irreducibles.
- ▶ It follows that  $L \otimes_{E_0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is a polynomial algebra over L, with one generator for each irreducible; and then that  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is polynomial.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

#### • Put $\Theta^* = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ , and regard it as a groupoid with one object.

- Hopkins, Kuhn and Ravenel defined a ring L which is an extension of Q ⊗ E<sup>0</sup> with Galois group Aut(Θ\*).
- Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a groupoid with finite hom sets.
- ▶ Write  $[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]$  for the set of natural isomorphism classes of functors  $\Theta^* \to \mathcal{G}$ .
- HKR constructed isomorphisms

 $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0 B \mathcal{G} \simeq \operatorname{Map}([\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}], L) \qquad \qquad L \otimes_{E_0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{G} \simeq L\{[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]\}.$ 

- E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup>BG has a natural inner product, which becomes ⟨[α], [β]⟩ = |Iso(α, β)| on L{[Θ<sup>\*</sup>, G]}.
- We can identify [Θ<sup>\*</sup>, V] with Rep<sup>+</sup>(Θ<sup>\*</sup>; F), the semiring of isomorphism classes of F-linear representations of Θ<sup>\*</sup>.
- Additively, this is freely generated by the set  $Irr(\Theta^*; F)$  of irreducibles.
- ▶ It follows that  $L \otimes_{E_0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is a polynomial algebra over L, with one generator for each irreducible; and then that  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is polynomial.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- Put  $\Theta^* = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ , and regard it as a groupoid with one object.
- ▶ Hopkins, Kuhn and Ravenel defined a ring *L* which is an extension of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0$  with Galois group Aut( $\Theta^*$ ).
- Let G be a groupoid with finite hom sets.
- ▶ Write  $[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]$  for the set of natural isomorphism classes of functors  $\Theta^* \to \mathcal{G}$ .
- HKR constructed isomorphisms

 $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0 B \mathcal{G} \simeq \operatorname{Map}([\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}], L) \qquad \qquad L \otimes_{E_0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{G} \simeq L\{[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]\}.$ 

- E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup>BG has a natural inner product, which becomes ⟨[α], [β]⟩ = |Iso(α, β)| on L{[Θ<sup>\*</sup>, G]}.
- We can identify [Θ\*, V] with Rep<sup>+</sup>(Θ\*; F), the semiring of isomorphism classes of F-linear representations of Θ\*.
- Additively, this is freely generated by the set  $Irr(\Theta^*; F)$  of irreducibles.
- ▶ It follows that  $L \otimes_{E_0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is a polynomial algebra over L, with one generator for each irreducible; and then that  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is polynomial.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- Put  $\Theta^* = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ , and regard it as a groupoid with one object.
- ▶ Hopkins, Kuhn and Ravenel defined a ring *L* which is an extension of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0$  with Galois group Aut( $\Theta^*$ ).
- Let G be a groupoid with finite hom sets.
- ▶ Write  $[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]$  for the set of natural isomorphism classes of functors  $\Theta^* \to \mathcal{G}$ .
- HKR constructed isomorphisms

 $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0 B \mathcal{G} \simeq \operatorname{Map}([\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}], L) \qquad \qquad L \otimes_{E_0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{G} \simeq L\{[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]\}.$ 

- E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup>BG has a natural inner product, which becomes ⟨[α], [β]⟩ = |Iso(α, β)| on L{[Θ<sup>\*</sup>, G]}.
- We can identify [Θ<sup>\*</sup>, V] with Rep<sup>+</sup>(Θ<sup>\*</sup>; F), the semiring of isomorphism classes of F-linear representations of Θ<sup>\*</sup>.
- Additively, this is freely generated by the set  $Irr(\Theta^*; F)$  of irreducibles.
- ▶ It follows that  $L \otimes_{E_0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is a polynomial algebra over L, with one generator for each irreducible; and then that  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is polynomial.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- Put  $\Theta^* = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ , and regard it as a groupoid with one object.
- ▶ Hopkins, Kuhn and Ravenel defined a ring *L* which is an extension of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0$  with Galois group Aut( $\Theta^*$ ).
- Let G be a groupoid with finite hom sets.
- ▶ Write  $[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]$  for the set of natural isomorphism classes of functors  $\Theta^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ .
- HKR constructed isomorphisms

 $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0 B \mathcal{G} \simeq \operatorname{Map}([\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}], L) \qquad \qquad L \otimes_{E_0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{G} \simeq L\{[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]\}.$ 

- E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup>BG has a natural inner product, which becomes ⟨[α], [β]⟩ = |Iso(α, β)| on L{[Θ<sup>\*</sup>, G]}.
- We can identify [Θ<sup>\*</sup>, V] with Rep<sup>+</sup>(Θ<sup>\*</sup>; F), the semiring of isomorphism classes of F-linear representations of Θ<sup>\*</sup>.
- Additively, this is freely generated by the set  $Irr(\Theta^*; F)$  of irreducibles.
- ▶ It follows that  $L \otimes_{E_0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is a polynomial algebra over L, with one generator for each irreducible; and then that  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is polynomial.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- Put  $\Theta^* = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ , and regard it as a groupoid with one object.
- ▶ Hopkins, Kuhn and Ravenel defined a ring *L* which is an extension of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0$  with Galois group Aut( $\Theta^*$ ).
- Let G be a groupoid with finite hom sets.
- ▶ Write  $[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]$  for the set of natural isomorphism classes of functors  $\Theta^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ .
- HKR constructed isomorphisms

 $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0 B \mathcal{G} \simeq \mathsf{Map}([\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}], L)$ 

 $L \otimes_{E_0} E_0^{\vee} B\mathcal{G} \simeq L\{[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]\}.$ 

- E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup>BG has a natural inner product, which becomes ⟨[α], [β]⟩ = |lso(α, β)| on L{[Θ<sup>\*</sup>, G]}.
- We can identify [Θ<sup>\*</sup>, V] with Rep<sup>+</sup>(Θ<sup>\*</sup>; F), the semiring of isomorphism classes of F-linear representations of Θ<sup>\*</sup>.
- Additively, this is freely generated by the set  $Irr(\Theta^*; F)$  of irreducibles.
- ▶ It follows that  $L \otimes_{E_0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is a polynomial algebra over L, with one generator for each irreducible; and then that  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is polynomial.
- Theorem:  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is also polynomial.

- Put  $\Theta^* = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ , and regard it as a groupoid with one object.
- ▶ Hopkins, Kuhn and Ravenel defined a ring *L* which is an extension of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0$  with Galois group Aut( $\Theta^*$ ).
- Let G be a groupoid with finite hom sets.
- ▶ Write  $[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]$  for the set of natural isomorphism classes of functors  $\Theta^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ .
- HKR constructed isomorphisms

 $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0 B\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathsf{Map}([\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}], L) \qquad \qquad L \otimes_{E_0} E_0^{\vee} B\mathcal{G} \simeq L\{[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]\}.$ 

- E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup>BG has a natural inner product, which becomes ⟨[α], [β]⟩ = |lso(α, β)| on L{[Θ<sup>\*</sup>, G]}.
- We can identify [Θ<sup>\*</sup>, V] with Rep<sup>+</sup>(Θ<sup>\*</sup>; F), the semiring of isomorphism classes of F-linear representations of Θ<sup>\*</sup>.
- Additively, this is freely generated by the set  $Irr(\Theta^*; F)$  of irreducibles.
- ▶ It follows that  $L \otimes_{E_0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is a polynomial algebra over L, with one generator for each irreducible; and then that  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is polynomial.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- Put  $\Theta^* = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ , and regard it as a groupoid with one object.
- ▶ Hopkins, Kuhn and Ravenel defined a ring *L* which is an extension of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0$  with Galois group Aut( $\Theta^*$ ).
- Let G be a groupoid with finite hom sets.
- ▶ Write  $[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]$  for the set of natural isomorphism classes of functors  $\Theta^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ .
- HKR constructed isomorphisms

 $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0 B\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathsf{Map}([\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}], L) \qquad \qquad L \otimes_{E_0} E_0^{\vee} B\mathcal{G} \simeq L\{[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]\}.$ 

- E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup>BG has a natural inner product, which becomes ⟨[α], [β]⟩ = |lso(α, β)| on L{[Θ<sup>\*</sup>, G]}.
- We can identify [Θ\*, V] with Rep<sup>+</sup>(Θ\*; F), the semiring of isomorphism classes of F-linear representations of Θ\*.

Additively, this is freely generated by the set  $Irr(\Theta^*; F)$  of irreducibles.

It follows that L ⊗<sub>E₀</sub> E<sub>₀</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV is a polynomial algebra over L, with one generator for each irreducible; and then that Q ⊗ E<sub>₀</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV is polynomial.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- Put  $\Theta^* = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ , and regard it as a groupoid with one object.
- ▶ Hopkins, Kuhn and Ravenel defined a ring *L* which is an extension of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0$  with Galois group Aut( $\Theta^*$ ).
- Let G be a groupoid with finite hom sets.
- ▶ Write  $[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]$  for the set of natural isomorphism classes of functors  $\Theta^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ .
- HKR constructed isomorphisms

 $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0 B\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathsf{Map}([\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}], L) \qquad \qquad L \otimes_{E_0} E_0^{\vee} B\mathcal{G} \simeq L\{[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]\}.$ 

- E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup>BG has a natural inner product, which becomes ⟨[α], [β]⟩ = |lso(α, β)| on L{[Θ<sup>\*</sup>, G]}.
- We can identify [Θ<sup>\*</sup>, V] with Rep<sup>+</sup>(Θ<sup>\*</sup>; F), the semiring of isomorphism classes of F-linear representations of Θ<sup>\*</sup>.
- Additively, this is freely generated by the set  $Irr(\Theta^*; F)$  of irreducibles.
- ▶ It follows that  $L \otimes_{E_0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is a polynomial algebra over L, with one generator for each irreducible; and then that  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is polynomial.

- Put  $\Theta^* = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ , and regard it as a groupoid with one object.
- ▶ Hopkins, Kuhn and Ravenel defined a ring *L* which is an extension of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0$  with Galois group Aut( $\Theta^*$ ).
- Let G be a groupoid with finite hom sets.
- ▶ Write  $[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]$  for the set of natural isomorphism classes of functors  $\Theta^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ .
- HKR constructed isomorphisms

 $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0 B\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathsf{Map}([\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}], L) \qquad \qquad L \otimes_{E_0} E_0^{\vee} B\mathcal{G} \simeq L\{[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]\}.$ 

- E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup>BG has a natural inner product, which becomes ⟨[α], [β]⟩ = |lso(α, β)| on L{[Θ<sup>\*</sup>, G]}.
- We can identify [Θ\*, V] with Rep<sup>+</sup>(Θ\*; F), the semiring of isomorphism classes of F-linear representations of Θ\*.
- Additively, this is freely generated by the set  $Irr(\Theta^*; F)$  of irreducibles.
- ▶ It follows that  $L \otimes_{E_0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is a polynomial algebra over L, with one generator for each irreducible; and then that  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is polynomial.

- Put  $\Theta^* = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ , and regard it as a groupoid with one object.
- ▶ Hopkins, Kuhn and Ravenel defined a ring *L* which is an extension of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0$  with Galois group Aut( $\Theta^*$ ).
- Let G be a groupoid with finite hom sets.
- ▶ Write  $[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]$  for the set of natural isomorphism classes of functors  $\Theta^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ .
- HKR constructed isomorphisms

 $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0 B\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathsf{Map}([\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}], L) \qquad \qquad L \otimes_{E_0} E_0^{\vee} B\mathcal{G} \simeq L\{[\Theta^*, \mathcal{G}]\}.$ 

- E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup>BG has a natural inner product, which becomes ⟨[α], [β]⟩ = |lso(α, β)| on L{[Θ<sup>\*</sup>, G]}.
- We can identify [Θ\*, V] with Rep<sup>+</sup>(Θ\*; F), the semiring of isomorphism classes of F-linear representations of Θ\*.
- Additively, this is freely generated by the set  $Irr(\Theta^*; F)$  of irreducibles.
- ▶ It follows that  $L \otimes_{E_0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is a polynomial algebra over L, with one generator for each irreducible; and then that  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is polynomial.

• Theorem:  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is also polynomial.

- lt is enough to prove that  $K_0 B V$  is polynomial.
- We use the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence  $H_*(B\mathcal{V}; K_*) \Longrightarrow K_*(B\mathcal{V})$ and its dual.
- Quillen:  $H_*(B\mathcal{V}; K_*)$  is generated by  $B\mathcal{V}_1$  and has countably many polynomial generators  $b_i$  and exterior generators  $e_i$ .
- ▶ Let F(k) be the extension of F of degree  $p^k$ , so  $GL_d(F(k))$  maps to  $GL_{p^k d}(F)$ . The group  $GL_1(F(k))$  is cyclic so the AHSS is well understood, with only one differential. This gives some information about the AHSS for  $GL_{p^k}(F)$ .
- ▶ Tanabe and HKR also tell us that  $K_*(BV)$  is concentrated in even degrees, with known rank.
- The ordinary ring structure on  $K^*(BGL_d(F))$  also gives some information.
- ▶ At the E<sub>∞</sub> page, all exterior generators have been killed, and b<sub>i</sub><sup>m<sup>n</sup></sup> survives. This leaves a polynomial algebra, and it follows that K<sub>\*</sub>(BV) is also polynomial.
- This is the most complex pattern of AHSS differentials that we have seen.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

• Theorem:  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is also polynomial.

- It is enough to prove that  $K_0 B V$  is polynomial.
- We use the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence  $H_*(B\mathcal{V}; K_*) \Longrightarrow K_*(B\mathcal{V})$ and its dual.
- Quillen:  $H_*(B\mathcal{V}; K_*)$  is generated by  $B\mathcal{V}_1$  and has countably many polynomial generators  $b_i$  and exterior generators  $e_i$ .
- ▶ Let F(k) be the extension of F of degree  $p^k$ , so  $GL_d(F(k))$  maps to  $GL_{p^k d}(F)$ . The group  $GL_1(F(k))$  is cyclic so the AHSS is well understood, with only one differential. This gives some information about the AHSS for  $GL_{p^k}(F)$ .
- ▶ Tanabe and HKR also tell us that  $K_*(BV)$  is concentrated in even degrees, with known rank.
- The ordinary ring structure on  $K^*(BGL_d(F))$  also gives some information.
- ► At the E<sub>∞</sub> page, all exterior generators have been killed, and b<sub>i</sub><sup>m<sup>n</sup>i</sup> survives. This leaves a polynomial algebra, and it follows that K<sub>\*</sub>(BV) is also polynomial.
- This is the most complex pattern of AHSS differentials that we have seen.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- Theorem:  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is also polynomial.
- It is enough to prove that  $K_0 B V$  is polynomial.
- ▶ We use the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence  $H_*(B\mathcal{V}; K_*) \Longrightarrow K_*(B\mathcal{V})$ and its dual.
- Quillen: H<sub>\*</sub>(BV; K<sub>\*</sub>) is generated by BV<sub>1</sub> and has countably many polynomial generators b<sub>i</sub> and exterior generators e<sub>i</sub>.
- ▶ Let F(k) be the extension of F of degree  $p^k$ , so  $GL_d(F(k))$  maps to  $GL_{p^k d}(F)$ . The group  $GL_1(F(k))$  is cyclic so the AHSS is well understood, with only one differential. This gives some information about the AHSS for  $GL_{p^k}(F)$ .
- ▶ Tanabe and HKR also tell us that  $K_*(BV)$  is concentrated in even degrees, with known rank.
- The ordinary ring structure on  $K^*(BGL_d(F))$  also gives some information.
- ► At the E<sub>∞</sub> page, all exterior generators have been killed, and b<sub>i</sub><sup>m<sup>n</sup>i</sup> survives. This leaves a polynomial algebra, and it follows that K<sub>\*</sub>(BV) is also polynomial.
- This is the most complex pattern of AHSS differentials that we have seen.

- Theorem:  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is also polynomial.
- It is enough to prove that  $K_0 B V$  is polynomial.
- We use the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence  $H_*(B\mathcal{V}; K_*) \Longrightarrow K_*(B\mathcal{V})$ and its dual.
- ▶ Quillen: H<sub>\*</sub>(BV; K<sub>\*</sub>) is generated by BV<sub>1</sub> and has countably many polynomial generators b<sub>i</sub> and exterior generators e<sub>i</sub>.
- ▶ Let F(k) be the extension of F of degree  $p^k$ , so  $GL_d(F(k))$  maps to  $GL_{p^k d}(F)$ . The group  $GL_1(F(k))$  is cyclic so the AHSS is well understood, with only one differential. This gives some information about the AHSS for  $GL_{p^k}(F)$ .
- ▶ Tanabe and HKR also tell us that  $K_*(BV)$  is concentrated in even degrees, with known rank.
- The ordinary ring structure on  $K^*(BGL_d(F))$  also gives some information.
- ► At the E<sub>∞</sub> page, all exterior generators have been killed, and b<sub>i</sub><sup>m<sup>n</sup>i</sup> survives. This leaves a polynomial algebra, and it follows that K<sub>\*</sub>(BV) is also polynomial.
- This is the most complex pattern of AHSS differentials that we have seen.

- Theorem:  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is also polynomial.
- It is enough to prove that  $K_0 B V$  is polynomial.
- We use the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence  $H_*(B\mathcal{V}; K_*) \Longrightarrow K_*(B\mathcal{V})$ and its dual.
- ▶ Quillen: H<sub>\*</sub>(BV; K<sub>\*</sub>) is generated by BV<sub>1</sub> and has countably many polynomial generators b<sub>i</sub> and exterior generators e<sub>i</sub>.
- ▶ Let F(k) be the extension of F of degree  $p^k$ , so  $GL_d(F(k))$  maps to  $GL_{p^k d}(F)$ . The group  $GL_1(F(k))$  is cyclic so the AHSS is well understood, with only one differential. This gives some information about the AHSS for  $GL_{p^k}(F)$ .
- ▶ Tanabe and HKR also tell us that  $K_*(BV)$  is concentrated in even degrees, with known rank.
- The ordinary ring structure on  $K^*(BGL_d(F))$  also gives some information.
- ► At the E<sub>∞</sub> page, all exterior generators have been killed, and b<sub>i</sub><sup>m<sup>n</sup>i</sup> survives. This leaves a polynomial algebra, and it follows that K<sub>\*</sub>(BV) is also polynomial.
- This is the most complex pattern of AHSS differentials that we have seen.
## The Atiyah-Hirzebruch Spectral Sequence

- Theorem:  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is also polynomial.
- It is enough to prove that  $K_0 B V$  is polynomial.
- We use the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence  $H_*(B\mathcal{V}; K_*) \Longrightarrow K_*(B\mathcal{V})$ and its dual.
- Quillen: H<sub>\*</sub>(BV; K<sub>\*</sub>) is generated by BV<sub>1</sub> and has countably many polynomial generators b<sub>i</sub> and exterior generators e<sub>i</sub>.
- ▶ Let F(k) be the extension of F of degree  $p^k$ , so  $GL_d(F(k))$  maps to  $GL_{p^k d}(F)$ . The group  $GL_1(F(k))$  is cyclic so the AHSS is well understood, with only one differential. This gives some information about the AHSS for  $GL_{p^k}(F)$ .
- ► Tanabe and HKR also tell us that K<sub>\*</sub>(BV) is concentrated in even degrees, with known rank.
- The ordinary ring structure on  $K^*(BGL_d(F))$  also gives some information.
- ► At the E<sub>∞</sub> page, all exterior generators have been killed, and b<sub>i</sub><sup>p<sup>mi</sup></sup> survives. This leaves a polynomial algebra, and it follows that K<sub>\*</sub>(BV) is also polynomial.
- ▶ This is the most complex pattern of AHSS differentials that we have seen.

## The Atiyah-Hirzebruch Spectral Sequence

- Theorem:  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is also polynomial.
- It is enough to prove that  $K_0 B V$  is polynomial.
- We use the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence  $H_*(B\mathcal{V}; K_*) \Longrightarrow K_*(B\mathcal{V})$ and its dual.
- ▶ Quillen: H<sub>\*</sub>(BV; K<sub>\*</sub>) is generated by BV<sub>1</sub> and has countably many polynomial generators b<sub>i</sub> and exterior generators e<sub>i</sub>.
- ▶ Let F(k) be the extension of F of degree  $p^k$ , so  $GL_d(F(k))$  maps to  $GL_{p^k d}(F)$ . The group  $GL_1(F(k))$  is cyclic so the AHSS is well understood, with only one differential. This gives some information about the AHSS for  $GL_{p^k}(F)$ .
- Tanabe and HKR also tell us that K<sub>\*</sub>(BV) is concentrated in even degrees, with known rank.
- The ordinary ring structure on  $K^*(BGL_d(F))$  also gives some information.
- ► At the E<sub>∞</sub> page, all exterior generators have been killed, and b<sub>i</sub><sup>p<sup>mi</sup></sup> survives. This leaves a polynomial algebra, and it follows that K<sub>\*</sub>(BV) is also polynomial.
- This is the most complex pattern of AHSS differentials that we have seen.

## The Atiyah-Hirzebruch Spectral Sequence

- Theorem:  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is also polynomial.
- It is enough to prove that  $K_0 B V$  is polynomial.
- We use the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence  $H_*(B\mathcal{V}; K_*) \Longrightarrow K_*(B\mathcal{V})$ and its dual.
- ▶ Quillen: H<sub>\*</sub>(BV; K<sub>\*</sub>) is generated by BV<sub>1</sub> and has countably many polynomial generators b<sub>i</sub> and exterior generators e<sub>i</sub>.
- ▶ Let F(k) be the extension of F of degree  $p^k$ , so  $GL_d(F(k))$  maps to  $GL_{p^k d}(F)$ . The group  $GL_1(F(k))$  is cyclic so the AHSS is well understood, with only one differential. This gives some information about the AHSS for  $GL_{p^k}(F)$ .
- ► Tanabe and HKR also tell us that K<sub>\*</sub>(BV) is concentrated in even degrees, with known rank.
- The ordinary ring structure on  $K^*(BGL_d(F))$  also gives some information.
- ► At the E<sub>∞</sub> page, all exterior generators have been killed, and b<sub>i</sub><sup>p<sup>m<sub>i</sub></sup> survives. This leaves a polynomial algebra, and it follows that K<sub>\*</sub>(BV) is also polynomial.</sup>
- This is the most complex pattern of AHSS differentials that we have seen.

• Theorem:  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is also polynomial.

- It is enough to prove that  $K_0 B V$  is polynomial.
- We use the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence  $H_*(B\mathcal{V}; K_*) \Longrightarrow K_*(B\mathcal{V})$ and its dual.
- Quillen: H<sub>\*</sub>(BV; K<sub>\*</sub>) is generated by BV<sub>1</sub> and has countably many polynomial generators b<sub>i</sub> and exterior generators e<sub>i</sub>.
- ▶ Let F(k) be the extension of F of degree  $p^k$ , so  $GL_d(F(k))$  maps to  $GL_{p^k d}(F)$ . The group  $GL_1(F(k))$  is cyclic so the AHSS is well understood, with only one differential. This gives some information about the AHSS for  $GL_{p^k}(F)$ .
- ► Tanabe and HKR also tell us that K<sub>\*</sub>(BV) is concentrated in even degrees, with known rank.
- The ordinary ring structure on  $K^*(BGL_d(F))$  also gives some information.
- ► At the E<sub>∞</sub> page, all exterior generators have been killed, and b<sub>i</sub><sup>p<sup>m</sup>i</sup> survives. This leaves a polynomial algebra, and it follows that K<sub>\*</sub>(BV) is also polynomial.
- ▶ This is the most complex pattern of AHSS differentials that we have seen.

- ► The functors  $\oplus$ ,  $\otimes$ :  $\mathcal{V}^2 \to \mathcal{V}$  give products on  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  and on  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  and on  $L \otimes_{E^0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V} = L\{\text{Rep}(\Theta^*, F)\}.$
- These are just  $[U] * [W] = [U \oplus W]$  and  $[U] \circ [W] = [U \otimes W]$ .
- We can grade everything with  $GL_d(F)$  in degree d; then |a \* b| = |a| + |b|.
- ▶  $K_0 BV$  embeds in  $K_0 B\overline{V} = K_0[K_0 BGL_1(\overline{F})]$ , which is polynomial under \*; so  $K_0 BV$  has no \*-nilpotents. If  $K_0 BV$  had a coproduct that interacted correctly with the product and grading, we could conclude that  $K_0 BV$  and  $E_0^{\vee} BV$  are polynomial under \*.
- The diagonal δ: V → V<sup>2</sup> gives a coproduct [V] → [V] ⊗ [V]. This is compatible with the two products, giving a Hopf ring. But it does not interact correctly with the grading.
- ▶ There is another coproduct, induced by the transfer associated to  $\oplus: \mathcal{V}^2 \to \mathcal{V}$ . This is  $\psi_*([V]) = \sum_{V = U \oplus W} [U] \otimes [W]$ .
- ▶ Not every splitting of  $V_1 \oplus V_2$  comes from splittings of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ ; so  $\psi_*$  is not a homomorphism for \*, and  $(E_0^{\vee} BV, *, \psi_*)$  is not a Hopf algebra.

- ► The functors  $\oplus$ ,  $\otimes$ :  $\mathcal{V}^2 \to \mathcal{V}$  give products on  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  and on  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  and on  $L \otimes_{E^0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V} = L\{\text{Rep}(\Theta^*, F)\}.$
- These are just  $[U] * [W] = [U \oplus W]$  and  $[U] \circ [W] = [U \otimes W]$ .
- We can grade everything with  $GL_d(F)$  in degree d; then |a \* b| = |a| + |b|.
- ▶  $K_0 BV$  embeds in  $K_0 B\overline{V} = K_0[K_0 BGL_1(\overline{F})]$ , which is polynomial under \*; so  $K_0 BV$  has no \*-nilpotents. If  $K_0 BV$  had a coproduct that interacted correctly with the product and grading, we could conclude that  $K_0 BV$  and  $E_0^{\vee} BV$  are polynomial under \*.
- The diagonal δ: V → V<sup>2</sup> gives a coproduct [V] → [V] ⊗ [V]. This is compatible with the two products, giving a Hopf ring. But it does not interact correctly with the grading.
- ▶ There is another coproduct, induced by the transfer associated to  $\oplus$ :  $\mathcal{V}^2 \to \mathcal{V}$ . This is  $\psi_*([V]) = \sum_{V=U \oplus W} [U] \otimes [W]$ .
- ▶ Not every splitting of  $V_1 \oplus V_2$  comes from splittings of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ ; so  $\psi_*$  is not a homomorphism for \*, and  $(E_0^{\vee} BV, *, \psi_*)$  is not a Hopf algebra.

- ► The functors  $\oplus$ ,  $\otimes$ :  $\mathcal{V}^2 \to \mathcal{V}$  give products on  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  and on  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  and on  $L \otimes_{E^0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V} = L\{\text{Rep}(\Theta^*, F)\}.$
- ▶ These are just  $[U] * [W] = [U \oplus W]$  and  $[U] \circ [W] = [U \otimes W]$ .
- We can grade everything with  $GL_d(F)$  in degree d; then |a \* b| = |a| + |b|.
- ▶  $K_0 BV$  embeds in  $K_0 B\overline{V} = K_0[K_0 BGL_1(\overline{F})]$ , which is polynomial under \*; so  $K_0 BV$  has no \*-nilpotents. If  $K_0 BV$  had a coproduct that interacted correctly with the product and grading, we could conclude that  $K_0 BV$  and  $E_0^{\vee} BV$  are polynomial under \*.
- The diagonal δ: V → V<sup>2</sup> gives a coproduct [V] → [V] ⊗ [V]. This is compatible with the two products, giving a Hopf ring. But it does not interact correctly with the grading.
- ▶ There is another coproduct, induced by the transfer associated to  $\oplus: \mathcal{V}^2 \to \mathcal{V}$ . This is  $\psi_*([V]) = \sum_{V = U \oplus W} [U] \otimes [W]$ .
- ▶ Not every splitting of  $V_1 \oplus V_2$  comes from splittings of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ ; so  $\psi_*$  is not a homomorphism for \*, and  $(E_0^{\vee} BV, *, \psi_*)$  is not a Hopf algebra.

- ► The functors  $\oplus$ ,  $\otimes$ :  $\mathcal{V}^2 \to \mathcal{V}$  give products on  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  and on  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  and on  $L \otimes_{E^0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V} = L\{\text{Rep}(\Theta^*, F)\}.$
- ▶ These are just  $[U] * [W] = [U \oplus W]$  and  $[U] \circ [W] = [U \otimes W]$ .
- We can grade everything with  $GL_d(F)$  in degree d; then |a \* b| = |a| + |b|.
- ▶  $K_0B\mathcal{V}$  embeds in  $K_0B\overline{\mathcal{V}} = K_0[K_0BGL_1(\overline{F})]$ , which is polynomial under \*; so  $K_0B\mathcal{V}$  has no \*-nilpotents. If  $K_0B\mathcal{V}$  had a coproduct that interacted correctly with the product and grading, we could conclude that  $K_0B\mathcal{V}$  and  $E_0^{\vee}B\mathcal{V}$  are polynomial under \*.
- The diagonal δ: V → V<sup>2</sup> gives a coproduct [V] → [V] ⊗ [V]. This is compatible with the two products, giving a Hopf ring. But it does not interact correctly with the grading.
- ▶ There is another coproduct, induced by the transfer associated to  $\oplus: \mathcal{V}^2 \to \mathcal{V}$ . This is  $\psi_*([V]) = \sum_{V = U \oplus W} [U] \otimes [W]$ .
- ▶ Not every splitting of  $V_1 \oplus V_2$  comes from splittings of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ ; so  $\psi_*$  is not a homomorphism for \*, and  $(E_0^{\vee} BV, *, \psi_*)$  is not a Hopf algebra.

- ► The functors  $\oplus$ ,  $\otimes$ :  $\mathcal{V}^2 \to \mathcal{V}$  give products on  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  and on  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  and on  $L \otimes_{E^0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V} = L\{\text{Rep}(\Theta^*, F)\}.$
- These are just  $[U] * [W] = [U \oplus W]$  and  $[U] \circ [W] = [U \otimes W]$ .
- We can grade everything with  $GL_d(F)$  in degree d; then |a \* b| = |a| + |b|.
- ►  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  embeds in  $K_0 B \overline{\mathcal{V}} = K_0 [K_0 B G L_1(\overline{F})]$ , which is polynomial under \*; so  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  has no \*-nilpotents. If  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  had a coproduct that interacted correctly with the product and grading, we could conclude that  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  and  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  are polynomial under \*.
- The diagonal δ: V → V<sup>2</sup> gives a coproduct [V] → [V] ⊗ [V]. This is compatible with the two products, giving a Hopf ring. But it does not interact correctly with the grading.
- ▶ There is another coproduct, induced by the transfer associated to  $\oplus$ :  $\mathcal{V}^2 \to \mathcal{V}$ . This is  $\psi_*([V]) = \sum_{V=U \oplus W} [U] \otimes [W]$ .
- ▶ Not every splitting of  $V_1 \oplus V_2$  comes from splittings of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ ; so  $\psi_*$  is not a homomorphism for \*, and  $(E_0^{\vee} BV, *, \psi_*)$  is not a Hopf algebra.

- ► The functors  $\oplus$ ,  $\otimes$ :  $\mathcal{V}^2 \to \mathcal{V}$  give products on  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  and on  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  and on  $L \otimes_{E^0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V} = L\{\text{Rep}(\Theta^*, F)\}.$
- These are just  $[U] * [W] = [U \oplus W]$  and  $[U] \circ [W] = [U \otimes W]$ .
- We can grade everything with  $GL_d(F)$  in degree d; then |a \* b| = |a| + |b|.
- ►  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  embeds in  $K_0 B \overline{\mathcal{V}} = K_0 [K_0 B G L_1(\overline{F})]$ , which is polynomial under \*; so  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  has no \*-nilpotents. If  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  had a coproduct that interacted correctly with the product and grading, we could conclude that  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  and  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  are polynomial under \*.
- The diagonal δ: V → V<sup>2</sup> gives a coproduct [V] → [V] ⊗ [V]. This is compatible with the two products, giving a Hopf ring. But it does not interact correctly with the grading.
- There is another coproduct, induced by the transfer associated to  $\oplus: \mathcal{V}^2 \to \mathcal{V}$ . This is  $\psi_*([V]) = \sum_{V=U \oplus W} [U] \otimes [W]$ .
- ▶ Not every splitting of  $V_1 \oplus V_2$  comes from splittings of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ ; so  $\psi_*$  is not a homomorphism for \*, and  $(E_0^{\vee} BV, *, \psi_*)$  is not a Hopf algebra.

- ► The functors  $\oplus$ ,  $\otimes$ :  $\mathcal{V}^2 \to \mathcal{V}$  give products on  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  and on  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  and on  $L \otimes_{E^0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V} = L\{\text{Rep}(\Theta^*, F)\}.$
- These are just  $[U] * [W] = [U \oplus W]$  and  $[U] \circ [W] = [U \otimes W]$ .
- We can grade everything with  $GL_d(F)$  in degree d; then |a \* b| = |a| + |b|.
- ►  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  embeds in  $K_0 B \overline{\mathcal{V}} = K_0 [K_0 B G L_1(\overline{F})]$ , which is polynomial under \*; so  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  has no \*-nilpotents. If  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  had a coproduct that interacted correctly with the product and grading, we could conclude that  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  and  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  are polynomial under \*.
- The diagonal δ: V → V<sup>2</sup> gives a coproduct [V] → [V] ⊗ [V]. This is compatible with the two products, giving a Hopf ring. But it does not interact correctly with the grading.
- ▶ There is another coproduct, induced by the transfer associated to  $\oplus: \mathcal{V}^2 \to \mathcal{V}$ . This is  $\psi_*([V]) = \sum_{V = U \oplus W} [U] \otimes [W]$ .
- ▶ Not every splitting of  $V_1 \oplus V_2$  comes from splittings of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ ; so  $\psi_*$  is not a homomorphism for \*, and  $(E_0^{\vee} BV, *, \psi_*)$  is not a Hopf algebra.

- ► The functors  $\oplus$ ,  $\otimes$ :  $\mathcal{V}^2 \to \mathcal{V}$  give products on  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  and on  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  and on  $L \otimes_{E^0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V} = L\{\text{Rep}(\Theta^*, F)\}.$
- These are just  $[U] * [W] = [U \oplus W]$  and  $[U] \circ [W] = [U \otimes W]$ .
- We can grade everything with  $GL_d(F)$  in degree d; then |a \* b| = |a| + |b|.
- ►  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  embeds in  $K_0 B \overline{\mathcal{V}} = K_0 [K_0 B G L_1(\overline{F})]$ , which is polynomial under \*; so  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  has no \*-nilpotents. If  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  had a coproduct that interacted correctly with the product and grading, we could conclude that  $K_0 B \mathcal{V}$  and  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  are polynomial under \*.
- The diagonal δ: V → V<sup>2</sup> gives a coproduct [V] → [V] ⊗ [V]. This is compatible with the two products, giving a Hopf ring. But it does not interact correctly with the grading.
- ▶ There is another coproduct, induced by the transfer associated to  $\oplus: \mathcal{V}^2 \to \mathcal{V}$ . This is  $\psi_*([V]) = \sum_{V = U \oplus W} [U] \otimes [W]$ .
- ▶ Not every splitting of  $V_1 \oplus V_2$  comes from splittings of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ ; so  $\psi_*$  is not a homomorphism for \*, and  $(E_0^{\vee} BV, *, \psi_*)$  is not a Hopf algebra.

(日)((1))

- A variant: instead of using the transfer for  $GL_i \times GL_j \rightarrow GL_{i+j}$ , use the parabolic subgroup  $P_{ij}$ , the projection  $P_{ij} \rightarrow GL_i \times GL_j$  and the inclusion  $P_{ij} \rightarrow GL_{i+j}$ . ("Harish Chandra induction").
- ▶ This gives another product  $[U] \times [W] = |\operatorname{Hom}_{\Theta^*}(W, U)|^{-1}[U \oplus W]$  and coproduct  $\psi_{\times}([V]) = \sum_{U \leq V} [U] \otimes [V/U]$ . These still do not give a Hopf algebra structure.
- ▶ One can find  $e \in E^0 BV$  with character values  $e(U) = |\operatorname{End}_{\Theta^*}(U)|$ . This is 1 mod the maximal ideal in  $E^0 BV_d$ , and p > 2, so it has a square root. This satisfies

$$(\sqrt{e(U)}[U]) \times (\sqrt{e(W)}[W]) = \sqrt{e(U \oplus W)}[U \oplus W],$$

so  $(E_0^{ee}B\mathcal{V}, imes)\simeq (E_0^{ee}B\mathcal{V},st).$ 

The failure of the Hopf algebra axiom is measured by u ∈ (E<sup>0</sup>BE)<sup>×</sup> for an auxiliary groupoid E. This has character values of the form | Hom<sub>Θ\*</sub>(A, B)| ∈ q<sup>N</sup> ⊆ 1 + p<sup>r</sup>Z. However, u ≠ 1 in (E/I<sub>n</sub>)<sup>0</sup>BE.

- A variant: instead of using the transfer for  $GL_i \times GL_j \rightarrow GL_{i+j}$ , use the parabolic subgroup  $P_{ij}$ , the projection  $P_{ij} \rightarrow GL_i \times GL_j$  and the inclusion  $P_{ij} \rightarrow GL_{i+j}$ . ("Harish Chandra induction").
- ▶ This gives another product  $[U] \times [W] = |\operatorname{Hom}_{\Theta^*}(W, U)|^{-1}[U \oplus W]$  and coproduct  $\psi_{\times}([V]) = \sum_{U \leq V} [U] \otimes [V/U]$ . These still do not give a Hopf algebra structure.
- ▶ One can find  $e \in E^0 BV$  with character values  $e(U) = |\operatorname{End}_{\Theta^*}(U)|$ . This is 1 mod the maximal ideal in  $E^0 BV_d$ , and p > 2, so it has a square root. This satisfies

$$(\sqrt{e(U)}[U]) \times (\sqrt{e(W)}[W]) = \sqrt{e(U \oplus W)}[U \oplus W],$$

so  $(E_0^{ee}B\mathcal{V}, imes)\simeq (E_0^{ee}B\mathcal{V},st).$ 

The failure of the Hopf algebra axiom is measured by u ∈ (E<sup>0</sup>BE)<sup>×</sup> for an auxiliary groupoid E. This has character values of the form | Hom<sub>Θ\*</sub>(A, B)| ∈ q<sup>N</sup> ⊆ 1 + p<sup>r</sup>Z. However, u ≠ 1 in (E/I<sub>n</sub>)<sup>0</sup>BE.

- A variant: instead of using the transfer for  $GL_i \times GL_j \rightarrow GL_{i+j}$ , use the parabolic subgroup  $P_{ij}$ , the projection  $P_{ij} \rightarrow GL_i \times GL_j$  and the inclusion  $P_{ij} \rightarrow GL_{i+j}$ . ("Harish Chandra induction").
- ▶ This gives another product  $[U] \times [W] = |\operatorname{Hom}_{\Theta^*}(W, U)|^{-1}[U \oplus W]$  and coproduct  $\psi_{\times}([V]) = \sum_{U \leq V} [U] \otimes [V/U]$ . These still do not give a Hopf algebra structure.
- ▶ One can find  $e \in E^0 BV$  with character values  $e(U) = |\operatorname{End}_{\Theta^*}(U)|$ . This is 1 mod the maximal ideal in  $E^0 BV_d$ , and p > 2, so it has a square root. This satisfies

$$(\sqrt{e(U)}[U]) \times (\sqrt{e(W)}[W]) = \sqrt{e(U \oplus W)}[U \oplus W],$$

so  $(E_0^{\vee}B\mathcal{V}, imes)\simeq (E_0^{\vee}B\mathcal{V},st).$ 

The failure of the Hopf algebra axiom is measured by u ∈ (E<sup>0</sup>BE)<sup>×</sup> for an auxiliary groupoid E. This has character values of the form | Hom<sub>Θ\*</sub>(A, B)| ∈ q<sup>N</sup> ⊆ 1 + p<sup>r</sup>Z. However, u ≠ 1 in (E/I<sub>n</sub>)<sup>0</sup>BE.

- ▶ A variant: instead of using the transfer for  $GL_i \times GL_j \rightarrow GL_{i+j}$ , use the parabolic subgroup  $P_{ij}$ , the projection  $P_{ij} \rightarrow GL_i \times GL_j$  and the inclusion  $P_{ij} \rightarrow GL_{i+i}$ . ("Harish Chandra induction").
- This gives another product [U] × [W] = |Hom<sub>Θ\*</sub>(W, U)|<sup>-1</sup>[U ⊕ W] and coproduct ψ<sub>×</sub>([V]) = ∑<sub>U≤V</sub>[U] ⊗ [V/U]. These still do not give a Hopf algebra structure.
- One can find e ∈ E<sup>0</sup>BV with character values e(U) = |End<sub>Θ\*</sub>(U)|. This is 1 mod the maximal ideal in E<sup>0</sup>BV<sub>d</sub>, and p > 2, so it has a square root. This satisfies

$$(\sqrt{e(U)}[U]) \times (\sqrt{e(W)}[W]) = \sqrt{e(U \oplus W)}[U \oplus W],$$

so  $(E_0^{\vee}B\mathcal{V}, \times) \simeq (E_0^{\vee}B\mathcal{V}, *).$ 

The failure of the Hopf algebra axiom is measured by u ∈ (E<sup>0</sup>BE)<sup>×</sup> for an auxiliary groupoid E. This has character values of the form | Hom<sub>Θ\*</sub>(A, B)| ∈ q<sup>N</sup> ⊆ 1 + p<sup>r</sup>Z. However, u ≠ 1 in (E/I<sub>n</sub>)<sup>0</sup>BE.

- A variant: instead of using the transfer for  $GL_i \times GL_j \rightarrow GL_{i+j}$ , use the parabolic subgroup  $P_{ij}$ , the projection  $P_{ij} \rightarrow GL_i \times GL_j$  and the inclusion  $P_{ij} \rightarrow GL_{i+j}$ . ("Harish Chandra induction").
- ▶ This gives another product  $[U] \times [W] = |\operatorname{Hom}_{\Theta^*}(W, U)|^{-1}[U \oplus W]$  and coproduct  $\psi_{\times}([V]) = \sum_{U \leq V} [U] \otimes [V/U]$ . These still do not give a Hopf algebra structure.
- ▶ One can find  $e \in E^0 BV$  with character values  $e(U) = |\operatorname{End}_{\Theta^*}(U)|$ . This is 1 mod the maximal ideal in  $E^0 BV_d$ , and p > 2, so it has a square root. This satisfies

$$(\sqrt{e(U)}[U]) \times (\sqrt{e(W)}[W]) = \sqrt{e(U \oplus W)}[U \oplus W],$$

so  $(E_0^{\vee}B\mathcal{V},\times)\simeq (E_0^{\vee}B\mathcal{V},*).$ 

The failure of the Hopf algebra axiom is measured by u ∈ (E<sup>0</sup>BE)<sup>×</sup> for an auxiliary groupoid E. This has character values of the form | Hom<sub>Θ\*</sub>(A, B)| ∈ q<sup>N</sup> ⊆ 1 + p<sup>r</sup>Z. However, u ≠ 1 in (E/I<sub>n</sub>)<sup>0</sup>BE.

[Θ\*, L] is the set of isomorphism classes of pairs (X, L), where X is a finite Θ\*-set, and L is a Θ\*-equivariant F-linear line bundle over X.

#### • The functor $\pi: \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{V}$ induces $[X, L] \mapsto [\bigoplus_x L_x]$ .

- Alternatively: a trellis in a Θ\*-representation V is an unordered set T of one-dimensional subspaces, which are permuted by the action of Θ\*, and whose direct sum is V.
- Then  $[\Theta^*, \mathcal{L}]$  is the category of representations equipped with a trellis.
- ▶ In this picture,  $\pi[V, T] = [V]$  and  $\pi^![V] = \sum_{\text{trellises } T} [V, T]$ .
- Note that  $\pi^{!}[U \oplus W] \neq \pi^{!}[U]\pi^{!}[W]$ , so  $\pi^{!}$  is not a ring map.
- Can we give a ring map  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V} \to E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{L}$  which is a section of  $\pi$ ?
- (It is known that  $E_0^{\vee} B\mathcal{L}$  is polynomial; a section as above would give another proof that  $E_0^{\vee} B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial.)

[Θ\*, L] is the set of isomorphism classes of pairs (X, L), where X is a finite Θ\*-set, and L is a Θ\*-equivariant F-linear line bundle over X.

▶ The functor  $\pi: \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{V}$  induces  $[X, L] \mapsto [\bigoplus_x L_x]$ .

- Alternatively: a trellis in a Θ\*-representation V is an unordered set T of one-dimensional subspaces, which are permuted by the action of Θ\*, and whose direct sum is V.
- Then  $[\Theta^*, \mathcal{L}]$  is the category of representations equipped with a trellis.
- ▶ In this picture,  $\pi[V, T] = [V]$  and  $\pi^![V] = \sum_{\text{trellises } T} [V, T]$ .
- Note that  $\pi^![U \oplus W] \neq \pi^![U]\pi^![W]$ , so  $\pi^!$  is not a ring map.
- Can we give a ring map  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V} \to E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{L}$  which is a section of  $\pi$ ?
- (It is known that  $E_0^{\vee} B\mathcal{L}$  is polynomial; a section as above would give another proof that  $E_0^{\vee} B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial.)

[Θ\*, L] is the set of isomorphism classes of pairs (X, L), where X is a finite Θ\*-set, and L is a Θ\*-equivariant F-linear line bundle over X.

### • The functor $\pi: \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{V}$ induces $[X, L] \mapsto [\bigoplus_x L_x]$ .

- Alternatively: a trellis in a Θ\*-representation V is an unordered set T of one-dimensional subspaces, which are permuted by the action of Θ\*, and whose direct sum is V.
- Then  $[\Theta^*, \mathcal{L}]$  is the category of representations equipped with a trellis.
- ▶ In this picture,  $\pi[V, T] = [V]$  and  $\pi^![V] = \sum_{\text{trellises } T}[V, T]$ .
- Note that  $\pi^{!}[U \oplus W] \neq \pi^{!}[U]\pi^{!}[W]$ , so  $\pi^{!}$  is not a ring map.
- Can we give a ring map  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V} \to E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{L}$  which is a section of  $\pi$ ?
- (It is known that  $E_0^{\vee} B\mathcal{L}$  is polynomial; a section as above would give another proof that  $E_0^{\vee} B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial.)

- [Θ\*, L] is the set of isomorphism classes of pairs (X, L), where X is a finite Θ\*-set, and L is a Θ\*-equivariant F-linear line bundle over X.
- The functor  $\pi: \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{V}$  induces  $[X, L] \mapsto [\bigoplus_x L_x]$ .
- Alternatively: a trellis in a Θ\*-representation V is an unordered set T of one-dimensional subspaces, which are permuted by the action of Θ\*, and whose direct sum is V.
- Then  $[\Theta^*, \mathcal{L}]$  is the category of representations equipped with a trellis.
- ▶ In this picture,  $\pi[V, T] = [V]$  and  $\pi^![V] = \sum_{\text{trellises } T} [V, T]$ .
- Note that  $\pi^{!}[U \oplus W] \neq \pi^{!}[U]\pi^{!}[W]$ , so  $\pi^{!}$  is not a ring map.
- Can we give a ring map  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V} \to E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{L}$  which is a section of  $\pi$ ?
- (It is known that E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BL is polynomial; a section as above would give another proof that E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV is polynomial.)

- [Θ\*, L] is the set of isomorphism classes of pairs (X, L), where X is a finite Θ\*-set, and L is a Θ\*-equivariant F-linear line bundle over X.
- The functor  $\pi: \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{V}$  induces  $[X, L] \mapsto [\bigoplus_x L_x]$ .
- Alternatively: a trellis in a Θ\*-representation V is an unordered set T of one-dimensional subspaces, which are permuted by the action of Θ\*, and whose direct sum is V.
- Then  $[\Theta^*, \mathcal{L}]$  is the category of representations equipped with a trellis.
- ▶ In this picture,  $\pi[V, T] = [V]$  and  $\pi^![V] = \sum_{\text{trellises } T} [V, T]$ .
- Note that  $\pi^{!}[U \oplus W] \neq \pi^{!}[U]\pi^{!}[W]$ , so  $\pi^{!}$  is not a ring map.
- Can we give a ring map  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V} \to E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{L}$  which is a section of  $\pi$ ?
- (It is known that E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BL is polynomial; a section as above would give another proof that E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV is polynomial.)

- [Θ\*, L] is the set of isomorphism classes of pairs (X, L), where X is a finite Θ\*-set, and L is a Θ\*-equivariant F-linear line bundle over X.
- The functor  $\pi: \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{V}$  induces  $[X, L] \mapsto [\bigoplus_x L_x]$ .
- Alternatively: a trellis in a Θ\*-representation V is an unordered set T of one-dimensional subspaces, which are permuted by the action of Θ\*, and whose direct sum is V.
- Then  $[\Theta^*, \mathcal{L}]$  is the category of representations equipped with a trellis.
- ▶ In this picture,  $\pi[V, T] = [V]$  and  $\pi^![V] = \sum_{\text{trellises } T}[V, T]$ .
- Note that  $\pi^{!}[U \oplus W] \neq \pi^{!}[U]\pi^{!}[W]$ , so  $\pi^{!}$  is not a ring map.
- Can we give a ring map  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V} \to E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{L}$  which is a section of  $\pi$ ?
- (It is known that E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BL is polynomial; a section as above would give another proof that E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV is polynomial.)

- [Θ\*, L] is the set of isomorphism classes of pairs (X, L), where X is a finite Θ\*-set, and L is a Θ\*-equivariant F-linear line bundle over X.
- The functor  $\pi: \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{V}$  induces  $[X, L] \mapsto [\bigoplus_x L_x]$ .
- Alternatively: a trellis in a Θ\*-representation V is an unordered set T of one-dimensional subspaces, which are permuted by the action of Θ\*, and whose direct sum is V.
- Then  $[\Theta^*, \mathcal{L}]$  is the category of representations equipped with a trellis.
- ▶ In this picture,  $\pi[V, T] = [V]$  and  $\pi^![V] = \sum_{\text{trellises } T} [V, T]$ .
- Note that  $\pi^{!}[U \oplus W] \neq \pi^{!}[U]\pi^{!}[W]$ , so  $\pi^{!}$  is not a ring map.
- Can we give a ring map  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V} \to E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{L}$  which is a section of  $\pi$ ?
- (It is known that E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BL is polynomial; a section as above would give another proof that E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV is polynomial.)

- [Θ\*, L] is the set of isomorphism classes of pairs (X, L), where X is a finite Θ\*-set, and L is a Θ\*-equivariant F-linear line bundle over X.
- The functor  $\pi: \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{V}$  induces  $[X, L] \mapsto [\bigoplus_x L_x]$ .
- Alternatively: a trellis in a Θ\*-representation V is an unordered set T of one-dimensional subspaces, which are permuted by the action of Θ\*, and whose direct sum is V.
- Then  $[\Theta^*, \mathcal{L}]$  is the category of representations equipped with a trellis.
- ▶ In this picture,  $\pi[V, T] = [V]$  and  $\pi^![V] = \sum_{\text{trellises } T}[V, T]$ .
- Note that  $\pi^{!}[U \oplus W] \neq \pi^{!}[U]\pi^{!}[W]$ , so  $\pi^{!}$  is not a ring map.
- Can we give a ring map  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V} \to E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{L}$  which is a section of  $\pi$ ?
- (It is known that  $E_0^{\vee} B\mathcal{L}$  is polynomial; a section as above would give another proof that  $E_0^{\vee} B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial.)

- [Θ\*, L] is the set of isomorphism classes of pairs (X, L), where X is a finite Θ\*-set, and L is a Θ\*-equivariant F-linear line bundle over X.
- The functor  $\pi: \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{V}$  induces  $[X, L] \mapsto [\bigoplus_x L_x]$ .
- Alternatively: a trellis in a Θ\*-representation V is an unordered set T of one-dimensional subspaces, which are permuted by the action of Θ\*, and whose direct sum is V.
- Then  $[\Theta^*, \mathcal{L}]$  is the category of representations equipped with a trellis.
- ▶ In this picture,  $\pi[V, T] = [V]$  and  $\pi^![V] = \sum_{\text{trellises } T} [V, T]$ .
- Note that  $\pi^{!}[U \oplus W] \neq \pi^{!}[U]\pi^{!}[W]$ , so  $\pi^{!}$  is not a ring map.
- Can we give a ring map  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V} \to E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{L}$  which is a section of  $\pi$ ?
- (It is known that E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BL is polynomial; a section as above would give another proof that E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV is polynomial.)

- Let W be an irreducible F-linear representation of Θ\*. Then End<sub>F[Θ\*]</sub>(W) is a field (by Schur's Lemma) and a finite extension of F, so we can choose an embedding in F, unique up to the action of Γ.
- ▶ Let  $\omega: \Theta^* \to \overline{F}^{\times}$  be a continuous homomorphism. Then the set  $W = \operatorname{span}_F(\omega(\Theta^*))$  is a finite subfield of  $\overline{F}$ , and we can use  $\omega$  to give an action of  $\Theta^*$  on W, making it an irreducible representation.
- ► These constructions give a bijection  $Irr(\Theta^*; F) \simeq Hom(\Theta^*, \overline{F}^{\times})/\Gamma = \Phi/\Gamma$ .
- This in turn gives Rep(Θ\*; F) = Div<sup>+</sup>(Φ)<sup>Γ</sup>, meshing nicely with Tanabe's (BV)<sub>E</sub> = Div<sup>+</sup>(ℍ)<sup>Γ</sup>.
- For m > 0, the irreducibles of dimension  $p^m$  correspond to orbits  $\Gamma \phi = \phi + p^r \mathbb{Z}_p \phi$  where  $\phi$  has order precisely  $p^{m+r}$ .
- lireducibles of dimension one correspond to singleton orbits  $\{\phi\}$  with  $p^r \phi = 0$ . There are no other irreducibles.
- Problem: find a closed subscheme of E<sup>0</sup>BGL<sub>p</sub><sup>m</sup>(F) = Div<sup>+</sup><sub>p</sub>(𝔄)<sup>Γ</sup> that corresponds to Irr<sub>p</sub><sup>m</sup>(Θ<sup>\*</sup>; F) in generalised character theory.

• Let W be an irreducible F-linear representation of  $\Theta^*$ .

Then  $\operatorname{End}_{F[\Theta^*]}(W)$  is a field (by Schur's Lemma) and a finite extension of F, so we can choose an embedding in  $\overline{F}$ , unique up to the action of  $\Gamma$ .

- ▶ Let  $\omega: \Theta^* \to \overline{F}^{\times}$  be a continuous homomorphism. Then the set  $W = \operatorname{span}_F(\omega(\Theta^*))$  is a finite subfield of  $\overline{F}$ , and we can use  $\omega$  to give an action of  $\Theta^*$  on W, making it an irreducible representation.
- ► These constructions give a bijection  $Irr(\Theta^*; F) \simeq Hom(\Theta^*, \overline{F}^{\times})/\Gamma = \Phi/\Gamma$ .
- ► This in turn gives  $\operatorname{Rep}(\Theta^*; F) = \operatorname{Div}^+(\Phi)^{\Gamma}$ , meshing nicely with Tanabe's  $(B\mathcal{V})_E = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .
- For m > 0, the irreducibles of dimension  $p^m$  correspond to orbits  $\Gamma \phi = \phi + p^r \mathbb{Z}_p \phi$  where  $\phi$  has order precisely  $p^{m+r}$ .
- lireducibles of dimension one correspond to singleton orbits  $\{\phi\}$  with  $p^r \phi = 0$ . There are no other irreducibles.
- Problem: find a closed subscheme of E<sup>0</sup>BGL<sub>p</sub><sup>m</sup>(F) = Div<sup>+</sup><sub>p</sub>(𝔄)<sup>Γ</sup> that corresponds to Irr<sub>p</sub><sup>m</sup>(Θ<sup>\*</sup>; F) in generalised character theory.

- Let W be an irreducible F-linear representation of Θ\*. Then End<sub>F[Θ\*]</sub>(W) is a field (by Schur's Lemma) and a finite extension of F, so we can choose an embedding in F, unique up to the action of Γ.
- Let ω: Θ<sup>\*</sup> → F̄<sup>×</sup> be a continuous homomorphism. Then the set W = span<sub>F</sub>(ω(Θ<sup>\*</sup>)) is a finite subfield of F̄, and we can use ω to give an action of Θ<sup>\*</sup> on W, making it an irreducible representation.
- ► These constructions give a bijection  $Irr(\Theta^*; F) \simeq Hom(\Theta^*, \overline{F}^{\times})/\Gamma = \Phi/\Gamma$ .
- ► This in turn gives  $\operatorname{Rep}(\Theta^*; F) = \operatorname{Div}^+(\Phi)^{\Gamma}$ , meshing nicely with Tanabe's  $(B\mathcal{V})_E = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .
- For m > 0, the irreducibles of dimension  $p^m$  correspond to orbits  $\Gamma \phi = \phi + p^r \mathbb{Z}_p \phi$  where  $\phi$  has order precisely  $p^{m+r}$ .
- lireducibles of dimension one correspond to singleton orbits  $\{\phi\}$  with  $p^r \phi = 0$ . There are no other irreducibles.
- ▶ Problem: find a closed subscheme of  $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F) = \text{Div}_{p^m}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$  that corresponds to  $\text{Irr}_{p^m}(\Theta^*; F)$  in generalised character theory.

- Let W be an irreducible F-linear representation of Θ\*. Then End<sub>F[Θ\*]</sub>(W) is a field (by Schur's Lemma) and a finite extension of F, so we can choose an embedding in F, unique up to the action of Γ.
- Let ω: Θ<sup>\*</sup> → F̄<sup>×</sup> be a continuous homomorphism. Then the set W = span<sub>F</sub>(ω(Θ<sup>\*</sup>)) is a finite subfield of F̄, and we can use ω to give an action of Θ<sup>\*</sup> on W, making it an irreducible representation.
- ► These constructions give a bijection  $Irr(\Theta^*; F) \simeq Hom(\Theta^*, \overline{F}^{\times})/\Gamma = \Phi/\Gamma$ .
- ► This in turn gives  $\operatorname{Rep}(\Theta^*; F) = \operatorname{Div}^+(\Phi)^{\Gamma}$ , meshing nicely with Tanabe's  $(B\mathcal{V})_E = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .
- For m > 0, the irreducibles of dimension  $p^m$  correspond to orbits  $\Gamma \phi = \phi + p^r \mathbb{Z}_p \phi$  where  $\phi$  has order precisely  $p^{m+r}$ .
- lireducibles of dimension one correspond to singleton orbits  $\{\phi\}$  with  $p^r \phi = 0$ . There are no other irreducibles.
- ▶ Problem: find a closed subscheme of  $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F) = \text{Div}_{p^m}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$  that corresponds to  $\text{Irr}_{p^m}(\Theta^*; F)$  in generalised character theory.

- Let W be an irreducible F-linear representation of Θ\*. Then End<sub>F[Θ\*]</sub>(W) is a field (by Schur's Lemma) and a finite extension of F, so we can choose an embedding in F, unique up to the action of Γ.
- Let ω: Θ<sup>\*</sup> → F̄<sup>×</sup> be a continuous homomorphism. Then the set W = span<sub>F</sub>(ω(Θ<sup>\*</sup>)) is a finite subfield of F̄, and we can use ω to give an action of Θ<sup>\*</sup> on W, making it an irreducible representation.
- ► These constructions give a bijection  $Irr(\Theta^*; F) \simeq Hom(\Theta^*, \overline{F}^{\times})/\Gamma = \Phi/\Gamma$ .
- This in turn gives Rep(Θ\*; F) = Div<sup>+</sup>(Φ)<sup>Γ</sup>, meshing nicely with Tanabe's (BV)<sub>E</sub> = Div<sup>+</sup>(ℍ)<sup>Γ</sup>.
- For m > 0, the irreducibles of dimension  $p^m$  correspond to orbits  $\Gamma \phi = \phi + p^r \mathbb{Z}_p \phi$  where  $\phi$  has order precisely  $p^{m+r}$ .
- lireducibles of dimension one correspond to singleton orbits  $\{\phi\}$  with  $p^r \phi = 0$ . There are no other irreducibles.
- ▶ Problem: find a closed subscheme of  $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F) = \text{Div}_{p^m}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$  that corresponds to  $\text{Irr}_{p^m}(\Theta^*; F)$  in generalised character theory.

(日)((1))

- Let W be an irreducible F-linear representation of Θ\*. Then End<sub>F[Θ\*]</sub>(W) is a field (by Schur's Lemma) and a finite extension of F, so we can choose an embedding in F, unique up to the action of Γ.
- Let ω: Θ<sup>\*</sup> → F̄<sup>×</sup> be a continuous homomorphism. Then the set W = span<sub>F</sub>(ω(Θ<sup>\*</sup>)) is a finite subfield of F̄, and we can use ω to give an action of Θ<sup>\*</sup> on W, making it an irreducible representation.
- These constructions give a bijection  $Irr(\Theta^*; F) \simeq Hom(\Theta^*, \overline{F}^{\times})/\Gamma = \Phi/\Gamma$ .
- ► This in turn gives  $\operatorname{Rep}(\Theta^*; F) = \operatorname{Div}^+(\Phi)^{\Gamma}$ , meshing nicely with Tanabe's  $(B\mathcal{V})_E = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .
- For m > 0, the irreducibles of dimension  $p^m$  correspond to orbits  $\Gamma \phi = \phi + p^r \mathbb{Z}_p \phi$  where  $\phi$  has order precisely  $p^{m+r}$ .
- lireducibles of dimension one correspond to singleton orbits  $\{\phi\}$  with  $p^r \phi = 0$ . There are no other irreducibles.
- ▶ Problem: find a closed subscheme of  $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F) = \text{Div}_{p^m}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$  that corresponds to  $\text{Irr}_{p^m}(\Theta^*; F)$  in generalised character theory.

(日)((1))

- Let W be an irreducible F-linear representation of Θ\*. Then End<sub>F[Θ\*]</sub>(W) is a field (by Schur's Lemma) and a finite extension of F, so we can choose an embedding in F, unique up to the action of Γ.
- Let ω: Θ<sup>\*</sup> → F̄<sup>×</sup> be a continuous homomorphism. Then the set W = span<sub>F</sub>(ω(Θ<sup>\*</sup>)) is a finite subfield of F̄, and we can use ω to give an action of Θ<sup>\*</sup> on W, making it an irreducible representation.
- These constructions give a bijection  $Irr(\Theta^*; F) \simeq Hom(\Theta^*, \overline{F}^{\times})/\Gamma = \Phi/\Gamma$ .
- ► This in turn gives  $\operatorname{Rep}(\Theta^*; F) = \operatorname{Div}^+(\Phi)^{\Gamma}$ , meshing nicely with Tanabe's  $(B\mathcal{V})_E = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .
- For m > 0, the irreducibles of dimension  $p^m$  correspond to orbits  $\Gamma \phi = \phi + p^r \mathbb{Z}_p \phi$  where  $\phi$  has order precisely  $p^{m+r}$ .
- lireducibles of dimension one correspond to singleton orbits  $\{\phi\}$  with  $p^r \phi = 0$ . There are no other irreducibles.
- ▶ Problem: find a closed subscheme of  $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F) = \text{Div}_{p^m}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$  that corresponds to  $\text{Irr}_{p^m}(\Theta^*; F)$  in generalised character theory.

(日)((1))

- Let W be an irreducible F-linear representation of Θ\*. Then End<sub>F[Θ\*]</sub>(W) is a field (by Schur's Lemma) and a finite extension of F, so we can choose an embedding in F, unique up to the action of Γ.
- Let ω: Θ<sup>\*</sup> → F̄<sup>×</sup> be a continuous homomorphism. Then the set W = span<sub>F</sub>(ω(Θ<sup>\*</sup>)) is a finite subfield of F̄, and we can use ω to give an action of Θ<sup>\*</sup> on W, making it an irreducible representation.
- These constructions give a bijection  $Irr(\Theta^*; F) \simeq Hom(\Theta^*, \overline{F}^{\times})/\Gamma = \Phi/\Gamma$ .
- This in turn gives Rep(Θ<sup>\*</sup>; F) = Div<sup>+</sup>(Φ)<sup>Γ</sup>, meshing nicely with Tanabe's (BV)<sub>E</sub> = Div<sup>+</sup>(ℍ)<sup>Γ</sup>.
- For m > 0, the irreducibles of dimension  $p^m$  correspond to orbits  $\Gamma \phi = \phi + p^r \mathbb{Z}_p \phi$  where  $\phi$  has order precisely  $p^{m+r}$ .
- lireducibles of dimension one correspond to singleton orbits  $\{\phi\}$  with  $p^r \phi = 0$ . There are no other irreducibles.
- ▶ Problem: find a closed subscheme of  $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F) = \text{Div}_{p^m}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$  that corresponds to  $\text{Irr}_{p^m}(\Theta^*; F)$  in generalised character theory.

- Let W be an irreducible F-linear representation of Θ\*. Then End<sub>F[Θ\*]</sub>(W) is a field (by Schur's Lemma) and a finite extension of F, so we can choose an embedding in F, unique up to the action of Γ.
- Let ω: Θ<sup>\*</sup> → F̄<sup>×</sup> be a continuous homomorphism. Then the set W = span<sub>F</sub>(ω(Θ<sup>\*</sup>)) is a finite subfield of F̄, and we can use ω to give an action of Θ<sup>\*</sup> on W, making it an irreducible representation.
- These constructions give a bijection  $Irr(\Theta^*; F) \simeq Hom(\Theta^*, \overline{F}^{\times})/\Gamma = \Phi/\Gamma$ .
- ► This in turn gives  $\operatorname{Rep}(\Theta^*; F) = \operatorname{Div}^+(\Phi)^{\Gamma}$ , meshing nicely with Tanabe's  $(B\mathcal{V})_E = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .
- For m > 0, the irreducibles of dimension  $p^m$  correspond to orbits  $\Gamma \phi = \phi + p^r \mathbb{Z}_p \phi$  where  $\phi$  has order precisely  $p^{m+r}$ .
- Irreducibles of dimension one correspond to singleton orbits  $\{\phi\}$  with  $p^r \phi = 0$ . There are no other irreducibles.
- ▶ Problem: find a closed subscheme of  $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F) = \text{Div}_{p^m}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$  that corresponds to  $\text{Irr}_{p^m}(\Theta^*; F)$  in generalised character theory.

- Let W be an irreducible F-linear representation of Θ\*. Then End<sub>F[Θ\*]</sub>(W) is a field (by Schur's Lemma) and a finite extension of F, so we can choose an embedding in F, unique up to the action of Γ.
- Let ω: Θ<sup>\*</sup> → F̄<sup>×</sup> be a continuous homomorphism. Then the set W = span<sub>F</sub>(ω(Θ<sup>\*</sup>)) is a finite subfield of F̄, and we can use ω to give an action of Θ<sup>\*</sup> on W, making it an irreducible representation.
- These constructions give a bijection  $Irr(\Theta^*; F) \simeq Hom(\Theta^*, \overline{F}^{\times})/\Gamma = \Phi/\Gamma$ .
- ► This in turn gives  $\operatorname{Rep}(\Theta^*; F) = \operatorname{Div}^+(\Phi)^{\Gamma}$ , meshing nicely with Tanabe's  $(B\mathcal{V})_E = \operatorname{Div}^+(\mathbb{H})^{\Gamma}$ .
- For m > 0, the irreducibles of dimension  $p^m$  correspond to orbits  $\Gamma \phi = \phi + p^r \mathbb{Z}_p \phi$  where  $\phi$  has order precisely  $p^{m+r}$ .
- Irreducibles of dimension one correspond to singleton orbits  $\{\phi\}$  with  $p^r \phi = 0$ . There are no other irreducibles.
- Problem: find a closed subscheme of E<sup>0</sup>BGL<sub>p</sub><sup>m</sup>(F) = Div<sup>+</sup><sub>p</sub>(ℍ)<sup>Γ</sup> that corresponds to Irr<sub>p</sub><sup>m</sup>(Θ<sup>\*</sup>; F) in generalised character theory.
#### Irreducibles in the line bundle category

- Let A be a finite subgroup of  $\Theta \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^{\infty})^n$ , and let  $C \subset \Theta$  be a coset with  $p^r C \subseteq A$ .
- Now  $A^*$  is a finite set with action of  $\Theta^*$ , and C gives a character of the stabiliser group  $\operatorname{ann}(A) \leq \Theta^*$  and thus a line bundle over  $A^*$ .
- ▶ The condition  $p^r C \subseteq A$  ensures that this is defined over F, not just  $\overline{F}$ .
- ▶ If we put  $C = \{$  all cosets like this  $\}$ , then we get  $Ind(L \otimes_{E^0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{L}) = L\{C\}.$
- The generators of L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV correspond to Galois orbits in Θ. The orbit of α is a coset for the cyclic group generated by p<sup>r</sup>α. This gives a ring map L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV → L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BL splitting π.
- Does this send E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup>BV to E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup>BL? Perhaps. This is related to the explicit Artin induction formula of Boltje, Snaith and Symonds, but the most obvious adaptation is not useful because of Z[X]<sup>G</sup> ≠ Z[X<sup>G</sup>].
- A schematic version:  $spf(E^0B\mathcal{L}/transfers)$  is

 $\{(A, C) \mid A \text{ is a finite subgroup of } \mathbb{H}, \ C \in \mathbb{H}/A, \ p^{r}C = 0_{\mathbb{H}/A}\}.$ 

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

#### Irreducibles in the line bundle category

- ▶ Let A be a finite subgroup of  $\Theta \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^{\infty})^n$ , and let  $C \subset \Theta$  be a coset with  $p^r C \subseteq A$ .
- Now A<sup>\*</sup> is a finite set with action of Θ<sup>\*</sup>, and C gives a character of the stabiliser group ann(A) ≤ Θ<sup>\*</sup> and thus a line bundle over A<sup>\*</sup>.
- ▶ The condition  $p^r C \subseteq A$  ensures that this is defined over F, not just  $\overline{F}$ .
- ▶ If we put  $C = \{ \text{ all cosets like this } \}$ , then we get  $\operatorname{Ind}(L \otimes_{E^0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{L}) = L\{C\}.$
- The generators of L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV correspond to Galois orbits in Θ. The orbit of α is a coset for the cyclic group generated by p'α. This gives a ring map L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV → L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BL splitting π.
- Does this send E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup>BV to E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup>BL? Perhaps. This is related to the explicit Artin induction formula of Boltje, Snaith and Symonds, but the most obvious adaptation is not useful because of Z[X]<sup>G</sup> ≠ Z[X<sup>G</sup>].
- A schematic version:  $spf(E^0B\mathcal{L}/transfers)$  is

 $\{(A, C) \mid A \text{ is a finite subgroup of } \mathbb{H}, \ C \in \mathbb{H}/A, \ p'C = 0_{\mathbb{H}/A}\}.$ 

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

- Let A be a finite subgroup of Θ ≃ (ℤ/p<sup>∞</sup>)<sup>n</sup>, and let C ⊂ Θ be a coset with p<sup>r</sup>C ⊆ A.
- Now A\* is a finite set with action of Θ\*, and C gives a character of the stabiliser group ann(A) ≤ Θ\* and thus a line bundle over A\*.
- The condition  $p^r C \subseteq A$  ensures that this is defined over F, not just  $\overline{F}$ .
- ▶ If we put  $C = \{ \text{ all cosets like this } \}$ , then we get  $\operatorname{Ind}(L \otimes_{E^0} E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{L}) = L\{C\}.$
- The generators of L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV correspond to Galois orbits in Θ. The orbit of α is a coset for the cyclic group generated by p'α. This gives a ring map L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV → L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BL splitting π.
- Does this send E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup>BV to E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup>BL? Perhaps. This is related to the explicit Artin induction formula of Boltje, Snaith and Symonds, but the most obvious adaptation is not useful because of Z[X]<sup>G</sup> ≠ Z[X<sup>G</sup>].
- A schematic version:  $spf(E^0B\mathcal{L}/transfers)$  is

 $\{(A, C) \mid A \text{ is a finite subgroup of } \mathbb{H}, \ C \in \mathbb{H}/A, \ p^r C = 0_{\mathbb{H}/A} \}.$ 

- Let A be a finite subgroup of Θ ≃ (ℤ/p<sup>∞</sup>)<sup>n</sup>, and let C ⊂ Θ be a coset with p<sup>r</sup>C ⊆ A.
- ▶ Now  $A^*$  is a finite set with action of  $\Theta^*$ , and *C* gives a character of the stabiliser group  $\operatorname{ann}(A) \leq \Theta^*$  and thus a line bundle over  $A^*$ .
- ▶ The condition  $p^r C \subseteq A$  ensures that this is defined over F, not just  $\overline{F}$ .
- ▶ If we put  $C = \{$  all cosets like this  $\}$ , then we get  $Ind(L \otimes_{E^0} E_0^{\vee} BL) = L\{C\}.$
- The generators of L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV correspond to Galois orbits in Θ. The orbit of α is a coset for the cyclic group generated by p'α. This gives a ring map L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV → L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BL splitting π.
- Does this send E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV to E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BL? Perhaps. This is related to the explicit Artin induction formula of Boltje, Snaith and Symonds, but the most obvious adaptation is not useful because of Z[X]<sup>G</sup> ≠ Z[X<sup>G</sup>].
- A schematic version:  $spf(E^0B\mathcal{L}/transfers)$  is

 $\{(A, C) \mid A \text{ is a finite subgroup of } \mathbb{H}, \ C \in \mathbb{H}/A, \ p^{r}C = 0_{\mathbb{H}/A}\}.$ 

- Let A be a finite subgroup of Θ ≃ (ℤ/p<sup>∞</sup>)<sup>n</sup>, and let C ⊂ Θ be a coset with p<sup>r</sup>C ⊆ A.
- ▶ Now  $A^*$  is a finite set with action of  $\Theta^*$ , and *C* gives a character of the stabiliser group  $\operatorname{ann}(A) \leq \Theta^*$  and thus a line bundle over  $A^*$ .
- ▶ The condition  $p^r C \subseteq A$  ensures that this is defined over F, not just  $\overline{F}$ .
- ▶ If we put  $C = \{ \text{ all cosets like this } \}$ , then we get  $Ind(L \otimes_{E^0} E_0^{\vee} BL) = L\{C\}.$
- The generators of L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV correspond to Galois orbits in Θ. The orbit of α is a coset for the cyclic group generated by p'α. This gives a ring map L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV → L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BL splitting π.
- Does this send E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV to E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BL? Perhaps. This is related to the explicit Artin induction formula of Boltje, Snaith and Symonds, but the most obvious adaptation is not useful because of Z[X]<sup>G</sup> ≠ Z[X<sup>G</sup>].
- A schematic version:  $spf(E^0B\mathcal{L}/transfers)$  is

 $\{(A, C) \mid A \text{ is a finite subgroup of } \mathbb{H}, \ C \in \mathbb{H}/A, \ p^{r}C = 0_{\mathbb{H}/A}\}.$ 

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

- Let A be a finite subgroup of Θ ≃ (ℤ/p<sup>∞</sup>)<sup>n</sup>, and let C ⊂ Θ be a coset with p<sup>r</sup>C ⊆ A.
- Now A\* is a finite set with action of Θ\*, and C gives a character of the stabiliser group ann(A) ≤ Θ\* and thus a line bundle over A\*.
- ▶ The condition  $p' C \subseteq A$  ensures that this is defined over F, not just  $\overline{F}$ .
- ▶ If we put  $C = \{ \text{ all cosets like this } \}$ , then we get  $Ind(L \otimes_{E^0} E_0^{\vee} BL) = L\{C\}.$
- The generators of L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV correspond to Galois orbits in Θ. The orbit of α is a coset for the cyclic group generated by p'α. This gives a ring map L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV → L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BL splitting π.
- Does this send E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV to E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BL? Perhaps. This is related to the explicit Artin induction formula of Boltje, Snaith and Symonds, but the most obvious adaptation is not useful because of Z[X]<sup>G</sup> ≠ Z[X<sup>G</sup>].
- A schematic version:  $spf(E^0B\mathcal{L}/transfers)$  is

 $\{(A, C) \mid A \text{ is a finite subgroup of } \mathbb{H}, \ C \in \mathbb{H}/A, \ p^r C = 0_{\mathbb{H}/A} \}.$ 

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

- Let A be a finite subgroup of Θ ≃ (ℤ/p<sup>∞</sup>)<sup>n</sup>, and let C ⊂ Θ be a coset with p<sup>r</sup>C ⊆ A.
- ▶ Now  $A^*$  is a finite set with action of  $\Theta^*$ , and *C* gives a character of the stabiliser group  $\operatorname{ann}(A) \leq \Theta^*$  and thus a line bundle over  $A^*$ .
- ▶ The condition  $p^r C \subseteq A$  ensures that this is defined over F, not just  $\overline{F}$ .
- ▶ If we put  $C = \{ \text{ all cosets like this } \}$ , then we get  $Ind(L \otimes_{E^0} E_0^{\vee} BL) = L\{C\}.$
- The generators of L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV correspond to Galois orbits in Θ. The orbit of α is a coset for the cyclic group generated by p'α. This gives a ring map L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV → L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BL splitting π.
- Does this send E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup>BV to E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup>BL? Perhaps. This is related to the explicit Artin induction formula of Boltje, Snaith and Symonds, but the most obvious adaptation is not useful because of Z[X]<sup>G</sup> ≠ Z[X<sup>G</sup>].
- A schematic version:  $spf(E^0B\mathcal{L}/transfers)$  is

 $\{(A, C) \mid A \text{ is a finite subgroup of } \mathbb{H}, \ C \in \mathbb{H}/A, \ p^{r}C = 0_{\mathbb{H}/A}\}.$ 

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

- Let A be a finite subgroup of Θ ≃ (ℤ/p<sup>∞</sup>)<sup>n</sup>, and let C ⊂ Θ be a coset with p<sup>r</sup>C ⊆ A.
- Now A\* is a finite set with action of Θ\*, and C gives a character of the stabiliser group ann(A) ≤ Θ\* and thus a line bundle over A\*.
- ▶ The condition  $p^r C \subseteq A$  ensures that this is defined over F, not just  $\overline{F}$ .
- ▶ If we put  $C = \{ \text{ all cosets like this } \}$ , then we get  $Ind(L \otimes_{E^0} E_0^{\vee} BL) = L\{C\}.$
- The generators of L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV correspond to Galois orbits in Θ. The orbit of α is a coset for the cyclic group generated by p'α. This gives a ring map L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BV → L ⊗<sub>E<sup>0</sup></sub> E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup> BL splitting π.
- Does this send E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup>BV to E<sub>0</sub><sup>∨</sup>BL? Perhaps. This is related to the explicit Artin induction formula of Boltje, Snaith and Symonds, but the most obvious adaptation is not useful because of Z[X]<sup>G</sup> ≠ Z[X<sup>G</sup>].
- ► A schematic version: spf(E<sup>0</sup>BL/transfers) is

 $\{(A, C) \mid A \text{ is a finite subgroup of } \mathbb{H}, \ C \in \mathbb{H}/A, \ p'C = 0_{\mathbb{H}/A}\}.$ 

We also put

$$y = \prod \{ \Gamma - \text{orbit of } x \} = \prod_{i=0}^{p^m-1} [q^i](x) \in D_m^{\Gamma}.$$

One can check that the set  $\{y^i \mid 0 \le i < p^{(m+r-1)n-m}(p^n-1)\}$  is a basis for  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  over  $E^0$ , and that  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  is a regular local ring.

We can regard  $U_m$  as a groupoid with one object, and there is an evident functor  $i: U_m \to \mathcal{V}$  sending the unique object to  $F_{p^m}$ . There is an isomorphism  $\overline{F} \otimes_F F_{p^m} \to \prod_{i=0}^{p^m-1} \overline{F}$  given by

$$a \otimes b \mapsto (ab, a \phi(b), a \phi^2(b), \dots, a \phi^{p^m-1}(b)).$$

# There is a cyclic subgroup $U_m \leq GL_{p^m}(F)$ of order $p^{m+r}$ , so $E^0 B U_m \simeq E^0 \llbracket x \rrbracket / [p^{m+r}](x)$ .

Now  $[p^{m+r}](x)$  factors as  $g_m(x)[p^{m+r-1}](x)$ , and we put  $D_m = E^0[\![x]\!]/g_m(x)$ . This still has an action of  $\Gamma$ , and we put  $X_m = \operatorname{spf}(D_m^{\Gamma})$ . In a different language:  $\operatorname{spf}(D_m) = \operatorname{Level}(U_m^*, \mathbb{G})$  and  $X_m = \operatorname{Level}(U_m^*, \mathbb{G})/\Gamma$ .

We also put

$$y = \prod \{ \Gamma - \text{orbit of } x \} = \prod_{i=0}^{p^m-1} [q^i](x) \in D_m^{\Gamma}.$$

One can check that the set  $\{y^i \mid 0 \le i < p^{(m+r-1)n-m}(p^n-1)\}$  is a basis for  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  over  $E^0$ , and that  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  is a regular local ring.

We can regard  $U_m$  as a groupoid with one object, and there is an evident functor  $i: U_m \to \mathcal{V}$  sending the unique object to  $F_{p^m}$ . There is an isomorphism  $\overline{F} \otimes_F F_{p^m} \to \prod_{i=0}^{p^m-1} \overline{F}$  given by

$$a \otimes b \mapsto (ab, a \phi(b), a \phi^2(b), \dots, a \phi^{p^m-1}(b)).$$

Using this, we find that the element  $c_{p^m} \in E^0 BGL_{p^m}(\overline{F})$  maps to  $y \in D_m^{\Gamma}$ . It follows that the map  $i^* \colon E^0 BGL_{p^m}(F) \to D_m^{\Gamma}$  is surjective, so  $X_m$  is a closed subscheme of  $\operatorname{Div}_{p^m}^+(\mathbb{H})$ .

We also put

$$y = \prod \{ \Gamma - ext{orbit of } x \} = \prod_{i=0}^{p^m-1} [q^i](x) \in D_m^{\Gamma}.$$

One can check that the set  $\{y^i \mid 0 \le i < p^{(m+r-1)n-m}(p^n-1)\}$  is a basis for  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  over  $E^0$ , and that  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  is a regular local ring.

We can regard  $U_m$  as a groupoid with one object, and there is an evident functor  $i: U_m \to \mathcal{V}$  sending the unique object to  $F_{p^m}$ . There is an isomorphism  $\overline{F} \otimes_F F_{p^m} \to \prod_{i=0}^{p^m-1} \overline{F}$  given by

$$a \otimes b \mapsto (ab, a \phi(b), a \phi^2(b), \dots, a \phi^{p^m-1}(b)).$$

#### Irreducibles in formal group theory

There is a cyclic subgroup  $U_m \leq GL_{p^m}(F)$  of order  $p^{m+r}$ , so  $E^0 B U_m \simeq E^0 \llbracket x \rrbracket / [p^{m+r}](x)$ . Now  $[p^{m+r}](x)$  factors as  $g_m(x) [p^{m+r-1}](x)$ , and we put  $D_m = E^0 \llbracket x \rrbracket / g_m(x)$ . This still has an action of  $\Gamma$ , and we put  $X_m = \operatorname{spf}(D_m^{\Gamma})$ . In a different language:  $\operatorname{spf}(D_m) = \operatorname{Level}(U_m^*, \mathbb{G})$  and  $X_m = \operatorname{Level}(U_m^*, \mathbb{G})/\Gamma$ .

We also put

$$y = \prod \{ \Gamma - \text{orbit of } x \} = \prod_{i=0}^{\rho^m - 1} [q^i](x) \in D_m^{\Gamma}.$$

One can check that the set  $\{y^i \mid 0 \le i < p^{(m+r-1)n-m}(p^n-1)\}$  is a basis for  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  over  $E^0$ , and that  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  is a regular local ring.

We can regard  $U_m$  as a groupoid with one object, and there is an evident functor  $i: U_m \to \mathcal{V}$  sending the unique object to  $F_{p^m}$ . There is an isomorphism  $\overline{F} \otimes_F F_{p^m} \to \prod_{i=0}^{p^m-1} \overline{F}$  given by

$$a \otimes b \mapsto (ab, a \phi(b), a \phi^2(b), \dots, a \phi^{p^m-1}(b)).$$

#### Irreducibles in formal group theory

There is a cyclic subgroup  $U_m \leq GL_{p^m}(F)$  of order  $p^{m+r}$ , so  $E^0BU_m \simeq E^0[\![x]\!]/[p^{m+r}](x)$ . Now  $[p^{m+r}](x)$  factors as  $g_m(x)[p^{m+r-1}](x)$ , and we put  $D_m = E^0[\![x]\!]/g_m(x)$ . This still has an action of  $\Gamma$ , and we put  $X_m = \operatorname{spf}(D_m^{\Gamma})$ . In a different language:  $\operatorname{spf}(D_m) = \operatorname{Level}(U_m^*, \mathbb{G})$  and  $X_m = \operatorname{Level}(U_m^*, \mathbb{G})/\Gamma$ .

We also put

$$y = \prod \{ \Gamma - \text{orbit of } x \} = \prod_{i=0}^{\rho^m - 1} [q^i](x) \in D_m^{\Gamma}$$

One can check that the set  $\{y^i \mid 0 \le i < p^{(m+r-1)n-m}(p^n-1)\}$  is a basis for  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  over  $E^0$ , and that  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  is a regular local ring.

We can regard  $U_m$  as a groupoid with one object, and there is an evident functor  $i: U_m \to \mathcal{V}$  sending the unique object to  $F_{p^m}$ . There is an isomorphism  $\overline{F} \otimes_F F_{p^m} \to \prod_{i=0}^{p^m-1} \overline{F}$  given by

$$a \otimes b \mapsto (ab, a \phi(b), a \phi^2(b), \dots, a \phi^{p^m-1}(b)).$$

We also put

There is a cyclic subgroup  $U_m \leq GL_{p^m}(F)$  of order  $p^{m+r}$ , so  $E^0BU_m \simeq E^0[\![x]\!]/[p^{m+r}](x)$ . Now  $[p^{m+r}](x)$  factors as  $g_m(x)[p^{m+r-1}](x)$ , and we put  $D_m = E^0[\![x]\!]/g_m(x)$ . This still has an action of  $\Gamma$ , and we put  $X_m = \operatorname{spf}(D_m^{\Gamma})$ . In a different language:  $\operatorname{spf}(D_m) = \operatorname{Level}(U_m^*, \mathbb{G})$  and  $X_m = \operatorname{Level}(U_m^*, \mathbb{G})/\Gamma$ .

$$y = \prod \{ \Gamma - ext{orbit of } x \} = \prod_{i=0}^{p^m-1} [q^i](x) \in D_m^{\Gamma}.$$

One can check that the set  $\{y^i \mid 0 \le i < p^{(m+r-1)n-m}(p^n-1)\}$  is a basis for  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  over  $E^0$ , and that  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  is a regular local ring.

We can regard  $U_m$  as a groupoid with one object, and there is an evident functor  $i: U_m \to \mathcal{V}$  sending the unique object to  $F_{p^m}$ . There is an isomorphism  $\overline{F} \otimes_F F_{p^m} \to \prod_{i=0}^{p^m-1} \overline{F}$  given by

$$a \otimes b \mapsto (ab, a \phi(b), a \phi^2(b), \dots, a \phi^{p^m-1}(b)).$$

We also put

$$y = \prod \{ \Gamma - ext{orbit of } x \} = \prod_{i=0}^{p^m-1} [q^i](x) \in D_m^{\Gamma}.$$

One can check that the set  $\{y^i \mid 0 \le i < p^{(m+r-1)n-m}(p^n-1)\}$  is a basis for  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  over  $E^0$ , and that  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  is a regular local ring.

We can regard  $U_m$  as a groupoid with one object, and there is an evident functor  $i: U_m \to \mathcal{V}$  sending the unique object to  $F_{p^m}$ . There is an isomorphism  $\overline{F} \otimes_F F_{p^m} \to \prod_{i=0}^{p^m-1} \overline{F}$  given by

$$a \otimes b \mapsto (ab, a \phi(b), a \phi^2(b), \dots, a \phi^{p^m-1}(b)).$$

We also put

$$y = \prod \{ \Gamma - \text{orbit of } x \} = \prod_{i=0}^{p^m-1} [q^i](x) \in D_m^{\Gamma}.$$

One can check that the set  $\{y^i \mid 0 \le i < p^{(m+r-1)n-m}(p^n-1)\}$  is a basis for  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  over  $E^0$ , and that  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  is a regular local ring.

We can regard  $U_m$  as a groupoid with one object, and there is an evident functor  $i: U_m \to \mathcal{V}$  sending the unique object to  $F_{P^m}$ .

There is an isomorphism  $\overline{F} \otimes_F F_{p^m} \to \prod_{i=0}^{p^m-1} \overline{F}$  given by

$$a \otimes b \mapsto (ab, a \phi(b), a \phi^2(b), \dots, a \phi^{p^m-1}(b)).$$

We also put

$$y = \prod \{ \Gamma - \text{orbit of } x \} = \prod_{i=0}^{p^m-1} [q^i](x) \in D_m^{\Gamma}.$$

One can check that the set  $\{y^i \mid 0 \le i < p^{(m+r-1)n-m}(p^n-1)\}$  is a basis for  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  over  $E^0$ , and that  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  is a regular local ring.

We can regard  $U_m$  as a groupoid with one object, and there is an evident functor  $i: U_m \to \mathcal{V}$  sending the unique object to  $F_{p^m}$ . There is an isomorphism  $\overline{F} \otimes_F F_{p^m} \to \prod_{i=0}^{p^m-1} \overline{F}$  given by

$$\mathsf{a}\otimes\mathsf{b}\mapsto(\mathsf{a}\mathsf{b},\ \mathsf{a}\,\phi(\mathsf{b}),\ \mathsf{a}\,\phi^2(\mathsf{b}),\ldots,\mathsf{a}\,\phi^{\mathsf{p}^m-1}(\mathsf{b})).$$

Using this, we find that the element  $c_{p^m} \in E^0 BGL_{p^m}(\overline{F})$  maps to  $y \in D_m^1$ . It follows that the map  $i^* \colon E^0 BGL_{p^m}(F) \to D_m^\Gamma$  is surjective, so  $X_m$  is a closed subscheme of  $\operatorname{Div}_{p^m}^+(\mathbb{H})$ .

We also put

$$y = \prod \{ \Gamma - \text{orbit of } x \} = \prod_{i=0}^{p^m-1} [q^i](x) \in D_m^{\Gamma}.$$

One can check that the set  $\{y^i \mid 0 \le i < p^{(m+r-1)n-m}(p^n-1)\}$  is a basis for  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  over  $E^0$ , and that  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  is a regular local ring.

We can regard  $U_m$  as a groupoid with one object, and there is an evident functor  $i: U_m \to \mathcal{V}$  sending the unique object to  $F_{p^m}$ . There is an isomorphism  $\overline{F} \otimes_F F_{p^m} \to \prod_{i=0}^{p^m-1} \overline{F}$  given by

$$\mathsf{a}\otimes\mathsf{b}\mapsto(\mathsf{a}\mathsf{b},\ \mathsf{a}\,\phi(\mathsf{b}),\ \mathsf{a}\,\phi^2(\mathsf{b}),\ldots,\mathsf{a}\,\phi^{\mathsf{p}^m-1}(\mathsf{b})).$$

We also put

$$y = \prod \{ \Gamma - \text{orbit of } x \} = \prod_{i=0}^{p^m-1} [q^i](x) \in D_m^{\Gamma}.$$

One can check that the set  $\{y^i \mid 0 \le i < p^{(m+r-1)n-m}(p^n-1)\}$  is a basis for  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  over  $E^0$ , and that  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  is a regular local ring.

We can regard  $U_m$  as a groupoid with one object, and there is an evident functor  $i: U_m \to \mathcal{V}$  sending the unique object to  $F_{p^m}$ . There is an isomorphism  $\overline{F} \otimes_F F_{p^m} \to \prod_{i=0}^{p^m-1} \overline{F}$  given by

$$\mathsf{a}\otimes\mathsf{b}\mapsto(\mathsf{a}\mathsf{b},\ \mathsf{a}\,\phi(\mathsf{b}),\ \mathsf{a}\,\phi^2(\mathsf{b}),\ldots,\mathsf{a}\,\phi^{\mathsf{p}^m-1}(\mathsf{b})).$$

The semiring Rep<sup>+</sup>( $\Theta^*, F$ ) is a set (not a formal scheme), and it splits as Rep<sup>+</sup>( $\Theta^*; F$ ) = Irr( $\Theta^*; F$ ) II Red( $\Theta^*; F$ ) =  $\prod$  Irr( $\Theta^*; F$ )<sup>m</sup>/ $\Sigma_m$ .

 $\operatorname{Rep}_{p^m}^+(\Theta^*;F) = \operatorname{Irr}_{p^m}(\Theta^*;F) \amalg \operatorname{Red}_{p^m}(\Theta^*;F) = \operatorname{Mon}(U_m^*,\Theta) \amalg \operatorname{Red}_{p^m}(\Theta^*;F).$ 

Question: is there an analogous splitting

 $BGL_{p^m}(F)_E = X_m \amalg W_m$  of formal schemes, or  $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F) = D_m^{\Gamma} \times C_m$  of rings?

Answer: no,  $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F)$  is a local ring, and does not split as a product. It does split after rationalising, by HKR.

This is a common phenomenon in this kind of algebra.Instead of splittings  $A = B \times C$ , we often have B = A/I and C = A/J with  $I = \operatorname{ann}(J)$  and  $J = \operatorname{ann}(I)$ , which makes I a C-module and J a B-module.In the best cases I will be free of rank one over C and/or J will be free of rank one over B.

The semiring  $\operatorname{Rep}^+(\Theta^*, F)$  is a set (not a formal scheme), and it splits as

$$\operatorname{Rep}^{+}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Irr}(\Theta^{*};F) \amalg \operatorname{Red}(\Theta^{*};F) = \prod_{m} \operatorname{Irr}(\Theta^{*};F)^{m} / \Sigma_{m}.$$

 $\operatorname{Rep}_{p^{m}}^{+}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Irr}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F) \amalg \operatorname{Red}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Mon}(U_{m}^{*},\Theta) \amalg \operatorname{Red}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F).$ 

Question: is there an analogous splitting

 $BGL_{p^m}(F)_E = X_m \amalg W_m$  of formal schemes, or  $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F) = D_m^{\Gamma} \times C_m$  of rings?

Answer: no,  $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F)$  is a local ring, and does not split as a product. It does split after rationalising, by HKR.

This is a common phenomenon in this kind of algebra.Instead of splittings  $A = B \times C$ , we often have B = A/I and C = A/J with  $I = \operatorname{ann}(J)$  and  $J = \operatorname{ann}(I)$ , which makes I a C-module and J a B-module.In the best cases I will be free of rank one over C and/or J will be free of rank one over B.

The semiring  $\operatorname{Rep}^+(\Theta^*, F)$  is a set (not a formal scheme), and it splits as

$$\operatorname{Rep}^{+}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Irr}(\Theta^{*};F) \amalg \operatorname{Red}(\Theta^{*};F) = \coprod_{m} \operatorname{Irr}(\Theta^{*};F)^{m} / \Sigma_{m}.$$

 $\operatorname{Rep}_{p^{m}}^{+}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Irr}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F) \amalg \operatorname{Red}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Mon}(U_{m}^{*},\Theta) \amalg \operatorname{Red}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F).$ 

Question: is there an analogous splitting

 $BGL_{p^m}(F)_E = X_m \coprod W_m$  of formal schemes, o  $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F) = D_m^{\Gamma} \times C_m$  of rings?

Answer: no,  $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F)$  is a local ring, and does not split as a product. It does split after rationalising, by HKR.

This is a common phenomenon in this kind of algebra.Instead of splittings  $A = B \times C$ , we often have B = A/I and C = A/J with  $I = \operatorname{ann}(J)$  and  $J = \operatorname{ann}(I)$ , which makes I a C-module and J a B-module.In the best cases I will be free of rank one over C and/or J will be free of rank one over B.

The semiring  $\operatorname{Rep}^+(\Theta^*, F)$  is a set (not a formal scheme), and it splits as

$$\operatorname{Rep}^{+}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Irr}(\Theta^{*};F) \amalg \operatorname{Red}(\Theta^{*};F) = \prod_{m} \operatorname{Irr}(\Theta^{*};F)^{m} / \Sigma_{m}.$$

 $\operatorname{Rep}_{p^{m}}^{+}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Irr}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F) \amalg \operatorname{Red}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Mon}(U_{m}^{*},\Theta) \amalg \operatorname{Red}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F).$ Question: is there an analogous splitting

 $BGL_{p^m}(F)_E = X_m \amalg W_m$  of formal schemes, or  $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F) = D_m^{\Gamma} \times C_m$  of rings?

Answer: no,  $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F)$  is a local ring, and does not split as a product. It does split after rationalising, by HKR.

This is a common phenomenon in this kind of algebra.Instead of splittings  $A = B \times C$ , we often have B = A/I and C = A/J with I = ann(J) and J = ann(I), which makes I a C-module and J a B-module.In the best cases I will be free of rank one over C and/or J will be free of rank one over B.

The semiring  $\operatorname{Rep}^+(\Theta^*, F)$  is a set (not a formal scheme), and it splits as

$$\operatorname{Rep}^{+}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Irr}(\Theta^{*};F) \amalg \operatorname{Red}(\Theta^{*};F) = \coprod_{m} \operatorname{Irr}(\Theta^{*};F)^{m} / \Sigma_{m}.$$

 $\operatorname{Rep}_{p^{m}}^{+}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Irr}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F) \amalg \operatorname{Red}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Mon}(U_{m}^{*},\Theta) \amalg \operatorname{Red}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F).$ Question: is there an analogous splitting

 $BGL_{p^m}(F)_E = X_m \amalg W_m$  of formal schemes, or  $E^0 BGL_{p^m}(F) = D_m^{\Gamma} \times C_m$  of rings?

Answer: no,  $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F)$  is a local ring, and does not split as a product. It does split after rationalising, by HKR.

This is a common phenomenon in this kind of algebra.Instead of splittings  $A = B \times C$ , we often have B = A/I and C = A/J with  $I = \operatorname{ann}(J)$  and  $J = \operatorname{ann}(I)$ , which makes I a C-module and J a B-module.In the best cases I will be free of rank one over C and/or J will be free of rank one over B.

The semiring  $\operatorname{Rep}^+(\Theta^*, F)$  is a set (not a formal scheme), and it splits as

$$\operatorname{Rep}^{+}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Irr}(\Theta^{*};F) \amalg \operatorname{Red}(\Theta^{*};F) = \prod_{m} \operatorname{Irr}(\Theta^{*};F)^{m} / \Sigma_{m}.$$

 $\operatorname{Rep}_{p^{m}}^{+}(\Theta^{*}; F) = \operatorname{Irr}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*}; F) \amalg \operatorname{Red}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*}; F) = \operatorname{Mon}(U_{m}^{*}, \Theta) \amalg \operatorname{Red}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*}; F).$ Question: is there an analogous splitting

$$BGL_{p^m}(F)_E = X_m \amalg W_m$$
 of formal schemes, or  
 $E^0 BGL_{p^m}(F) = D_m^{\Gamma} \times C_m$  of rings?

#### Answer: no, $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F)$ is a local ring, and does not split as a product. It does split after rationalising, by HKR.

This is a common phenomenon in this kind of algebra.Instead of splittings  $A = B \times C$ , we often have B = A/I and C = A/J with I = ann(J) and J = ann(I), which makes I a C-module and J a B-module.In the best cases I will be free of rank one over C and/or J will be free of rank one over B.

The semiring  $\operatorname{Rep}^+(\Theta^*, F)$  is a set (not a formal scheme), and it splits as

$$\operatorname{Rep}^{+}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Irr}(\Theta^{*};F) \amalg \operatorname{Red}(\Theta^{*};F) = \prod_{m} \operatorname{Irr}(\Theta^{*};F)^{m} / \Sigma_{m}$$

 $\operatorname{Rep}_{p^{m}}^{+}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Irr}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F) \amalg \operatorname{Red}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Mon}(U_{m}^{*},\Theta) \amalg \operatorname{Red}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F).$ Question: is there an analogous splitting

$$BGL_{p^m}(F)_E = X_m \amalg W_m$$
 of formal schemes, or  
 $E^0 BGL_{p^m}(F) = D_m^{\Gamma} \times C_m$  of rings?

Answer: no,  $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F)$  is a local ring, and does not split as a product. It does split after rationalising, by HKR.

This is a common phenomenon in this kind of algebra.Instead of splittings  $A = B \times C$ , we often have B = A/I and C = A/J with I = ann(J) and J = ann(I), which makes I a C-module and J a B-module.In the best cases I will be free of rank one over C and/or J will be free of rank one over B.

The semiring  $\operatorname{Rep}^+(\Theta^*, F)$  is a set (not a formal scheme), and it splits as

$$\operatorname{Rep}^{+}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Irr}(\Theta^{*};F) \amalg \operatorname{Red}(\Theta^{*};F) = \coprod_{m} \operatorname{Irr}(\Theta^{*};F)^{m} / \Sigma_{m}.$$

 $\operatorname{Rep}_{p^{m}}^{+}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Irr}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F) \amalg \operatorname{Red}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Mon}(U_{m}^{*},\Theta) \amalg \operatorname{Red}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F).$ Question: is there an analogous splitting

$$BGL_{p^m}(F)_E = X_m \amalg W_m$$
 of formal schemes, or  
 $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F) = D_m^{\Gamma} \times C_m$  of rings?

Answer: no,  $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F)$  is a local ring, and does not split as a product. It does split after rationalising, by HKR.

This is a common phenomenon in this kind of algebra.Instead of splittings  $A = B \times C$ , we often have B = A/I and C = A/J with I = ann(J) and J = ann(I), which makes I a C-module and J a B-module.In the best cases I will be free of rank one over C and/or J will be free of rank one over B.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

The semiring  $\operatorname{Rep}^+(\Theta^*, F)$  is a set (not a formal scheme), and it splits as

$$\operatorname{Rep}^{+}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Irr}(\Theta^{*};F) \amalg \operatorname{Red}(\Theta^{*};F) = \coprod_{m} \operatorname{Irr}(\Theta^{*};F)^{m} / \Sigma_{m}.$$

 $\operatorname{Rep}_{p^{m}}^{+}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Irr}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F) \amalg \operatorname{Red}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Mon}(U_{m}^{*},\Theta) \amalg \operatorname{Red}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F).$ Question: is there an analogous splitting

$$BGL_{p^m}(F)_E = X_m \amalg W_m$$
 of formal schemes, or  
 $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F) = D_m^{\Gamma} \times C_m$  of rings?

Answer: no,  $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F)$  is a local ring, and does not split as a product. It does split after rationalising, by HKR.

This is a common phenomenon in this kind of algebra.Instead of splittings  $A = B \times C$ , we often have B = A/I and C = A/J with  $I = \operatorname{ann}(J)$  and  $J = \operatorname{ann}(I)$ , which makes I a C-module and J a B-module.In the best cases I will be free of rank one over C and/or J will be free of rank one over B.

The semiring  $\operatorname{Rep}^+(\Theta^*, F)$  is a set (not a formal scheme), and it splits as

$$\operatorname{Rep}^{+}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Irr}(\Theta^{*};F) \amalg \operatorname{Red}(\Theta^{*};F) = \prod_{m} \operatorname{Irr}(\Theta^{*};F)^{m} / \Sigma_{m}.$$

 $\operatorname{Rep}_{p^{m}}^{+}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Irr}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F) \amalg \operatorname{Red}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F) = \operatorname{Mon}(U_{m}^{*},\Theta) \amalg \operatorname{Red}_{p^{m}}(\Theta^{*};F).$ Question: is there an analogous splitting

$$BGL_{p^m}(F)_E = X_m \amalg W_m$$
 of formal schemes, or  
 $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F) = D_m^{\Gamma} \times C_m$  of rings?

Answer: no,  $E^0BGL_{p^m}(F)$  is a local ring, and does not split as a product. It does split after rationalising, by HKR.

This is a common phenomenon in this kind of algebra.Instead of splittings  $A = B \times C$ , we often have B = A/I and C = A/J with  $I = \operatorname{ann}(J)$  and  $J = \operatorname{ann}(I)$ , which makes I a C-module and J a B-module.In the best cases I will be free of rank one over C and/or J will be free of rank one over B.

We have seen that  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  is the quotient of the ring  $A = E^0 BGL_{p^m}(F)$  by an ideal J say. Here A and  $D^{\Gamma}$  are both Frobenius algebras over  $E^0$ . From this it follows automatically that J and  $\operatorname{ann}(J)$  are both  $E^0$ -module summands in A, and that  $\operatorname{ann}^2(J) = J$ . Moreover,  $\operatorname{ann}(J)$  is a free module of rank one over  $D_m^{\Gamma}$ .

We know that  $E_0^{\vee}B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial, and it follows by self-duality that  $E^0B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial under the transfer product, and we have

$$J = \operatorname{img}(\operatorname{tr}: E^0(BGL_{p^{m-1}}(F)^p) \to E^0(BGL_{p^m}(F))),$$

so  $\operatorname{Ind}_{P^m}(E^0B\mathcal{V})=D_m^{\Gamma}$ .

**Problem:** find an explicit generator for ann(J).

In the case m = 1, the element  $c_{\rho}(\psi^{\rho}(\text{Taut}))$  is the required generator, but the proof is elaborate. We do not know whether a similar formula works for m > 1.

**Problem:** find a finer decomposition of  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  as an amalgamation of simpler quotient rings, and use it to give a basis for  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  over  $E^0$ .

We have seen that  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  is the quotient of the ring  $A = E^0 BGL_{p^m}(F)$  by an ideal J say. Here A and  $D^{\Gamma}$  are both Frobenius algebras over  $E^0$ . From this it follows automatically that J and  $\operatorname{ann}(J)$  are both  $E^0$ -module summands in A, and that  $\operatorname{ann}^2(J) = J$ . Moreover,  $\operatorname{ann}(J)$  is a free module of rank one over  $D_m^{\Gamma}$ .

We know that  $E_0^{\vee}B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial, and it follows by self-duality that  $E^0B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial under the transfer product, and we have

$$J = \operatorname{img}(\operatorname{tr}: E^0(BGL_{p^{m-1}}(F)^p) \to E^0(BGL_{p^m}(F))),$$

so  $\operatorname{Ind}_{P^m}(E^0B\mathcal{V})=D_m^{\Gamma}$ .

**Problem:** find an explicit generator for ann(J).

In the case m = 1, the element  $c_{\rho}(\psi^{\rho}(\text{Taut}))$  is the required generator, but the proof is elaborate. We do not know whether a similar formula works for m > 1.

**Problem:** find a finer decomposition of  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  as an amalgamation of simpler quotient rings, and use it to give a basis for  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  over  $E^0$ .

We have seen that  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  is the quotient of the ring  $A = E^0 BGL_{p^m}(F)$  by an ideal J say. Here A and  $D^{\Gamma}$  are both Frobenius algebras over  $E^0$ . From this it follows automatically that J and ann(J) are both  $E^0$ -module summands in A, and that  $ann^2(J) = J$ . Moreover, ann(J) is a free module of rank one over  $D_m^{\Gamma}$ .

We know that  $E_0^{\vee}B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial, and it follows by self-duality that  $E^0B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial under the transfer product, and we have

$$J = \operatorname{img}(\operatorname{tr}: E^0(BGL_{p^{m-1}}(F)^p) \to E^0(BGL_{p^m}(F))),$$

so  $\operatorname{Ind}_{P^m}(E^0B\mathcal{V})=D_m^{\Gamma}$ .

**Problem:** find an explicit generator for ann(J).

In the case m = 1, the element  $c_{\rho}(\psi^{\rho}(\text{Taut}))$  is the required generator, but the proof is elaborate. We do not know whether a similar formula works for m > 1.

**Problem:** find a finer decomposition of  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  as an amalgamation of simpler quotient rings, and use it to give a basis for  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  over  $E^0$ .

We have seen that  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  is the quotient of the ring  $A = E^0 BGL_{p^m}(F)$  by an ideal J say. Here A and  $D^{\Gamma}$  are both Frobenius algebras over  $E^0$ . From this it follows automatically that J and  $\operatorname{ann}(J)$  are both  $E^0$ -module summands in A, and that  $\operatorname{ann}^2(J) = J$ . Moreover,  $\operatorname{ann}(J)$  is a free module of rank one over  $D_m^{\Gamma}$ .

We know that  $E_0^{\vee}B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial, and it follows by self-duality that  $E^0B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial under the transfer product, and we have

$$J = \operatorname{img}(\operatorname{tr}: E^0(BGL_{p^{m-1}}(F)^p) \to E^0(BGL_{p^m}(F))),$$

so  $\operatorname{Ind}_{P^m}(E^0B\mathcal{V})=D_m^{\Gamma}$ .

**Problem:** find an explicit generator for ann(J).

In the case m = 1, the element  $c_{\rho}(\psi^{\rho}(\text{Taut}))$  is the required generator, but the proof is elaborate. We do not know whether a similar formula works for m > 1.

**Problem:** find a finer decomposition of  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  as an amalgamation of simpler quotient rings, and use it to give a basis for  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  over  $E^0$ .

We have seen that  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  is the quotient of the ring  $A = E^0 BGL_{p^m}(F)$  by an ideal J say. Here A and  $D^{\Gamma}$  are both Frobenius algebras over  $E^0$ . From this it follows automatically that J and ann(J) are both  $E^0$ -module summands in A, and that  $ann^2(J) = J$ . Moreover, ann(J) is a free module of rank one over  $D_m^{\Gamma}$ .

We know that  $E_0^{\vee}B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial, and it follows by self-duality that  $E^0B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial under the transfer product, and we have

$$J = \operatorname{img}(\operatorname{tr}: E^0(BGL_{p^{m-1}}(F)^p) \to E^0(BGL_{p^m}(F))),$$

so  $\operatorname{Ind}_{P^m}(E^0B\mathcal{V})=D_m^{\Gamma}$ .

**Problem:** find an explicit generator for ann(J).

In the case m = 1, the element  $c_p(\psi^p(\text{Taut}))$  is the required generator, but the proof is elaborate. We do not know whether a similar formula works for m > 1.

**Problem:** find a finer decomposition of  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  as an amalgamation of simpler quotient rings, and use it to give a basis for  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  over  $E^0$ .

We have seen that  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  is the quotient of the ring  $A = E^0 BGL_{p^m}(F)$  by an ideal J say. Here A and  $D^{\Gamma}$  are both Frobenius algebras over  $E^0$ . From this it follows automatically that J and  $\operatorname{ann}(J)$  are both  $E^0$ -module summands in A, and that  $\operatorname{ann}^2(J) = J$ . Moreover,  $\operatorname{ann}(J)$  is a free module of rank one over  $D_m^{\Gamma}$ .

We know that  $E_0^{\vee}B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial, and it follows by self-duality that  $E^0B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial under the transfer product, and we have

$$J = \operatorname{img}(\operatorname{tr}: E^0(BGL_{p^m-1}(F)^p) \to E^0(BGL_{p^m}(F))),$$

so  $\operatorname{Ind}_{p^m}(E^0B\mathcal{V}) = D_m^{\Gamma}$ .

**Problem:** find an explicit generator for ann(J).

In the case m = 1, the element  $c_{\rho}(\psi^{\rho}(\text{Taut}))$  is the required generator, but the proof is elaborate. We do not know whether a similar formula works for m > 1.

**Problem:** find a finer decomposition of  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  as an amalgamation of simpler quotient rings, and use it to give a basis for  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  over  $E^0$ .

We have seen that  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  is the quotient of the ring  $A = E^0 BGL_{p^m}(F)$  by an ideal J say. Here A and  $D^{\Gamma}$  are both Frobenius algebras over  $E^0$ . From this it follows automatically that J and  $\operatorname{ann}(J)$  are both  $E^0$ -module summands in A, and that  $\operatorname{ann}^2(J) = J$ . Moreover,  $\operatorname{ann}(J)$  is a free module of rank one over  $D_m^{\Gamma}$ .

We know that  $E_0^{\vee}B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial, and it follows by self-duality that  $E^0B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial under the transfer product, and we have

$$J = \operatorname{img}(\operatorname{tr} \colon E^0(BGL_{p^{m-1}}(F)^p) \to E^0(BGL_{p^m}(F))),$$

so  $\operatorname{Ind}_{p^m}(E^0B\mathcal{V}) = D_m^{\Gamma}$ .

#### **Problem:** find an explicit generator for ann(J).

In the case m = 1, the element  $c_{\rho}(\psi^{\rho}(\text{Taut}))$  is the required generator, but the proof is elaborate. We do not know whether a similar formula works for m > 1.

**Problem:** find a finer decomposition of  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  as an amalgamation of simpler quotient rings, and use it to give a basis for  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  over  $E^0$ .
## Splitting and amalgamation

We have seen that  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  is the quotient of the ring  $A = E^0 BGL_{p^m}(F)$  by an ideal J say. Here A and  $D^{\Gamma}$  are both Frobenius algebras over  $E^0$ . From this it follows automatically that J and ann(J) are both  $E^0$ -module summands in A, and that  $ann^2(J) = J$ . Moreover, ann(J) is a free module of rank one over  $D_m^{\Gamma}$ .

We know that  $E_0^{\vee}B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial, and it follows by self-duality that  $E^0B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial under the transfer product, and we have

$$J = \operatorname{img}(\operatorname{tr} \colon E^0(BGL_{p^{m-1}}(F)^p) \to E^0(BGL_{p^m}(F))),$$

so  $\operatorname{Ind}_{p^m}(E^0B\mathcal{V}) = D_m^{\Gamma}$ .

**Problem:** find an explicit generator for ann(J).

In the case m = 1, the element  $c_p(\psi^p(\text{Taut}))$  is the required generator, but the proof is elaborate. We do not know whether a similar formula works for m > 1.

**Problem:** find a finer decomposition of  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  as an amalgamation of simpler quotient rings, and use it to give a basis for  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  over  $E^0$ .

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

## Splitting and amalgamation

We have seen that  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  is the quotient of the ring  $A = E^0 BGL_{p^m}(F)$  by an ideal J say. Here A and  $D^{\Gamma}$  are both Frobenius algebras over  $E^0$ . From this it follows automatically that J and ann(J) are both  $E^0$ -module summands in A, and that  $ann^2(J) = J$ . Moreover, ann(J) is a free module of rank one over  $D_m^{\Gamma}$ .

We know that  $E_0^{\vee}B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial, and it follows by self-duality that  $E^0B\mathcal{V}$  is polynomial under the transfer product, and we have

$$J = \operatorname{img}(\operatorname{tr} \colon E^0(BGL_{p^{m-1}}(F)^p) \to E^0(BGL_{p^m}(F))),$$

so  $\operatorname{Ind}_{p^m}(E^0B\mathcal{V}) = D_m^{\Gamma}$ .

**Problem:** find an explicit generator for ann(J).

In the case m = 1, the element  $c_p(\psi^p(\text{Taut}))$  is the required generator, but the proof is elaborate. We do not know whether a similar formula works for m > 1.

**Problem**: find a finer decomposition of  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  as an amalgamation of simpler quotient rings, and use it to give a basis for  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  over  $E^0$ .

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

## Splitting and amalgamation

We have seen that  $D_m^{\Gamma}$  is the quotient of the ring  $A = E^0 BGL_{p^m}(F)$  by an ideal J say. Here A and  $D^{\Gamma}$  are both Frobenius algebras over  $E^0$ . From this it follows automatically that J and  $\operatorname{ann}(J)$  are both  $E^0$ -module summands in A, and that  $\operatorname{ann}^2(J) = J$ . Moreover,  $\operatorname{ann}(J)$  is a free module of rank one over  $D_m^{\Gamma}$ .

We know that  $E_0^{\vee} B \mathcal{V}$  is polynomial, and it follows by self-duality that  $E^0 B \mathcal{V}$  is polynomial under the transfer product, and we have

$$J = \operatorname{img}(\operatorname{tr} \colon E^0(BGL_{p^{m-1}}(F)^p) \to E^0(BGL_{p^m}(F))),$$

so  $\operatorname{Ind}_{p^m}(E^0B\mathcal{V}) = D_m^{\Gamma}$ .

**Problem:** find an explicit generator for ann(J).

In the case m = 1, the element  $c_p(\psi^p(\text{Taut}))$  is the required generator, but the proof is elaborate. We do not know whether a similar formula works for m > 1.

**Problem:** find a finer decomposition of  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  as an amalgamation of simpler quotient rings, and use it to give a basis for  $E^0BGL_d(F)$  over  $E^0$ .

(日)((1))

# Consider instead the ideals $I = \ker(E^0BGL_d(F) \to E^0(BGL_{d-1}(F)))$ and $J = \operatorname{img}(\operatorname{tr}: E^0(BGL_{d-1}(F)) \to E^0(BGL_d(F))),$

Both *I* and *J* are  $E^0$ -module summands, and they are annihilators of each other. *I* is generated by the Euler class euler =  $c_d$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

#### An easier problem

Consider instead the ideals  $I = \ker(E^0BGL_d(F) \to E^0(BGL_{d-1}(F)))$  and  $J = \operatorname{img}(\operatorname{tr}: E^0(BGL_{d-1}(F)) \to E^0(BGL_d(F))),$ 

## Both I and J are $E^0$ -module summands, and they are annihilators of each other.

*I* is generated by the Euler class euler  $= c_d$ .

### An easier problem

Consider instead the ideals  $I = \ker(E^0BGL_d(F) \to E^0(BGL_{d-1}(F)))$  and  $J = \operatorname{img}(\operatorname{tr}: E^0(BGL_{d-1}(F)) \to E^0(BGL_d(F))),$ 

Both *I* and *J* are  $E^0$ -module summands, and they are annihilators of each other. *I* is generated by the Euler class euler =  $c_d$ .

#### An easier problem

Consider instead the ideals  $I = \ker(E^0BGL_d(F) \to E^0(BGL_{d-1}(F)))$  and  $J = \operatorname{img}(\operatorname{tr}: E^0(BGL_{d-1}(F)) \to E^0(BGL_d(F))),$ 

Both I and J are  $E^0$ -module summands, and they are annihilators of each other. I is generated by the Euler class euler =  $c_d$ .